



WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT *of* HEALTH SERVICES

IRIS Policy Manual: Work Instructions

Table of Contents

Chapter 1: Eligibility	6
1.0 Medicaid Cost Share Payments.....	6
Business Rules	6
Cost Share Payments.....	6
Monitoring	7
Chapter 2: Enrollment	7
Chapter 3: Health and Welfare	7
3.0 40-Hour Health and Safety Assurance.....	7
Business Rules	7
Plan Development.....	7
Payments	9
Exceptions.....	9
Self-Directed Personal Care (SDPC) and Exceptions.....	11
Continuous and Ongoing Exception Criteria	11
Short-Term Planned or Unplanned Exception Criteria.....	12
Education	13
Incorporating the 40-hour Health and Safety Assurance into the Planning Process.....	13
Submitting Timesheets.....	14
How FEAs will apply the 40-hour Health and Safety Assurance to Timesheets Exceeding the 40-Hour Limit.....	14
Requesting Additional Hours.....	14
Data Collection, Reporting, and Monitoring.....	15
3.1 Assessing Risk	15

Business Rules	15
Identifying Risk.....	17
Addressing Risk in the ISSP	17
Allowing for Informed Decision-Making about Risk.....	17
Monitoring	18
3.2 Restrictive Measures	18
Business Rules	18
Development of Restrictive Measures Application	21
Restrictive Measures Application Approval Process	22
Communication of Review Panel’s Decision and Implementation of Restrictive Measures.....	22
ICA Monitoring of Restrictive Measures.....	23
DHS Monitoring of Restrictive Measures Processes	23
3.3 Critical Incident Reporting.....	24
Business Rules	24
Refer to addendum: Reporting and Follow-up for Immediate Reportable and Critical Incidents (P-03131).....	24
3.4 Emergency Backup Plan	24
Chapter 4: IRIS Additional Funding Requests, P03656 (PDF)	24
4.0 Budget Amendment Annual Verification	24
Business Rules	24
4.1 One-Time Expense Requests	24
Business Rules	24
Identifying Needs for One-Time Expense	27
One-Time Expense Submission Process.....	27
Pre-Review Process.....	28
DHS Review	29
Independent Review Process	30
Appeals Process	31
Monitoring Process	31
Data and Quality Management Process	31
4.2 Project SEARCH	32
Identification, Outreach & Assessment.....	33



Chapter 5: Participant Choice of Qualified Providers	33
5.0 Participant Employer and Participant-Hired Worker Setup Packets.....	33
Business Rules	33
FEA Set-up of Participant Employer and PHWs	34
Monitoring	35
5.1 See IRIS Policy: IRIS Background Check, P-00708E (PDF).....	35
5.2 Transferring/Changing Fiscal Employer Agent Providers.....	35
Business Rules	35
Chapter 6: Disenrollments	35
Chapter 7: Program Integrity.....	36
7.0 Fraud Allegation Review and Assessment (FARA)	36
Business Rules	36
Identifying and Reporting Process	39
Fraud Allegation Review and Assessment Process.....	40
Mitigation of Fraud/Abuse.....	40
Referral to the Department of Health Services	41
Referral to the Office of the Inspector General/Department of Justice.....	42
Referral to Department of Justice	42
Pursuing Recoupment	43
Monitoring	43
Data/Narrative Reporting.....	44
7.1 Conflict of Interest – Participant.....	44
Business Rules	44
Identification and Reporting of a Conflict of Interest	45
Investigation Process.....	45
Resolution Process	46
Implementation Process	46
Monitoring	46
7.2 Conflict of Interest – Provider	46
Business Rules	46
Completion of the Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form.....	48

Conflict of Interest Mitigation Process	48
Monitoring	48
7.3 IRIS Self-Directed Personal Care Disclosure Statement	48
Business Rules	48
Form Implementation.....	49
Monitoring	49
7.4 Record Review Process.....	49
Business Rules	49
Record Review Sample.....	50
Record Review Process.....	50
Remediation Process	51
Remediation Validation	51
DHS IRIS Record Review SharePoint Case Closure.....	51
Data Collection, Reporting, and Monitoring.....	51
7.5 Quality Management Plans	52
Business Rules	52
Quality Management Plan Development	52
Monitoring Process	53
Modifying/Adding/Discontinuing Quality Management Strategies	53
Chapter 8: Appeals and Grievances.....	53
8.0 Appeals	53
Business Rules	53
Notice of Action.....	54
Notification of Appeal	54
Concurrent Review	55
DHS and ICA Negotiations.....	55
Hearing Process.....	56
Post-Hearing Activities	56
Appeals Process	57
Monitoring Process	57
Data and Quality Management Process	57

8.1 Record Requests.....	57
Business Rules	57
Making the Request for Records.....	58
When Records are Requested from the DHS Office of IRIS Management (OIM).....	59
When Records are Requested from the ICA, FEA, or IRIS SDPC Oversight Agency.....	59
Chapter 9: IRIS Self-Directed Personal Care (SDPC)	59
9.0 IRIS SDPC Forms and Documentation.....	59
Business Rules	59
Education	60
Completion of Forms	60
Completion of Case Notes	60
Data Collection, Reporting, and Monitoring.....	61
9.1 IRIS SDPC: Oversight Home Visits	61
Business Rules	61
Education	62
Requesting and Obtaining Fewer IRIS SDPC Nurse Oversight Visits	62
Data Collection, Reporting, and Monitoring.....	62

Chapter 1: Eligibility

Most of this chapter has been removed and replaced with the IRIS Policy: [Eligibility, P-03515](#).

1.0 Medicaid Cost Share Payments

Business Rules

1. Medicaid financial eligibility is one of the requirements that must be met to be considered eligible for IRIS.
2. Cost share is the amount of a participant's income which must be paid, each month, to maintain Medicaid financial eligibility.
3. An Income Maintenance worker calculates the cost share amount as part of initial and ongoing Medicaid financial eligibility.
4. Medicaid rules require participants to report financial status changes to the County Income Maintenance Unit within ten (10) calendar days. Changes in a person's financial status may result in a new monthly cost share amount.
5. Participants who fail to pay cost share may be disenrolled from the program and will be permitted reenrollment only after all cost share arrears owed are paid in full.
6. Cost share payment is not required for any month when the participant is in a hospital or nursing home.
7. Participants financially eligible for Medicaid as a Group A participant (those receiving Supplemental Security Income and those who have other Group A related Medicaid eligibility) do not have a cost share assessed.
8. Participants who fail to pay the required cost share may negotiate a repayment plan. Repayment plans must cure the default within 12 months.
9. People who fail to honor the established repayment plan are referred for disenrollment.
10. People who fail to arrange a repayment plan when arrears reach 90 days are referred for disenrollment.
11. When the participant's income changes so that the cost share becomes \$0, the participant is still expected to pay any amount in arrears and all business rules listed above continue to apply.
12. When an individual defaults on a repayment plan (either payment date or payment amount) and is referred for disenrollment, the ICA may retract the disenrollment referral only if all cost share arrears owed are paid in full ahead of the Medicaid Fair Hearing date.

Cost Share Payments

Step #	Responsible Partner(s)	Detail
Step 1	Participant/ Income Maintenance (IM) Worker	The participant files an initial application for Medicaid at the county Income Maintenance (IM) Office. Personal financial information is provided, and the worker enters the information into the CARES System.

Step 2	IM	A notice is sent to the participant informing him/her of the application result. The notice includes the monthly cost share obligation amount.
Step 3	ADRC	Referral of eligible persons is made to the IRIS Consultant Agency (ICA) when the person selects the IRIS program for long-term care.
Step 4	FEA	The payment obligation is recorded and documented in the participant's WISITS record and FEA system.
Step 5	Participant	Cost share payment is due on the first of the month and must be received by the 5 th of the month at the IRIS FEA.
Step 6	FEA	The FEA receives the payment and deposits the payment into the designated DHS bank account.
Step 7	FEA	The monthly cost share payment statement is sent to the participant.
Step 8	ICA	People who are in arrears receive notice of the opportunity to negotiate a repayment agreement that may not exceed 12 months.

Monitoring

Step 9	DHS	Provides information to the ICA and FEA (report or database access) on cost share due amounts.
Step 10	FEA	Documents whether the cost share was paid each month.
Step 11	DHS/ICA/ FEA	Complete monthly review of cost share delinquencies and authorize referrals for disenrollment to be processed.

Chapter 2: Enrollment

This chapter has been removed and replaced with the IRIS Policy: [Program Enrollment, P-03547 \(PDF\)](#).

Chapter 3: Health and Welfare

3.0 40-Hour Health and Safety Assurance

Business Rules

There are three components to the 40-Hour Health and Safety Assurance Policy: plan development, payments, and exceptions.

Plan Development

1. To mitigate safety risks in the IRIS program, workers are limited to working 40 hours or fewer per workweek on a continuing and ongoing basis without an approved exception. These hours include all services paid at an hourly

rate on the approved individual support and service plan (ISSP) for a total of not more than 40 hours per week, per participant-hired worker (PHW).

2. The total hour calculation includes the sum of hours worked per workweek, per worker, for an individual IRIS participant (regardless of whether the participant directly hired the worker or whether the worker was hired by an agency).
3. The 40-hour limit applies to a seven-day workweek, which, for consistency in the IRIS program, commences on Sunday at 12:00 a.m. and ends on Saturday at 11:59 p.m.

Participants should be aware that general domestic service employees are entitled to minimum wage and overtime requirements when the employee works more than 40 hours in any one workweek for the same employer.

However, if the domestic service employee shares a household with their employer, the employee is entitled to minimum wage, but is **not** entitled to overtime from the employer with whom they share a household. (No such exemption exists regarding overtime for third-party employers who do not reside in the household.)

4. IRIS consultant agencies (ICAs) are responsible for educating participants and/or legal representatives about the 40-Hour Health and Safety Assurance Policy using the IRIS Participant Education Manual (P-01704).
 - a. The ICA is responsible for answering any questions the participant and/or legal representative has regarding the IRIS Participant Education Manual (P-01704).
 - b. IRIS participants and/or legal representatives are required to educate all current and future employees about the 40-Hour Health and Safety Assurance policy using the IRIS Participant Education Manual (P-01704).
5. The participant and the ICA are required to develop an emergency backup plan that reduces and/or mitigates the need for unplanned temporary exceptions and complies with IRIS policy 4.5A.1.
6. ICAs are prohibited from developing a plan with a participant, and/or their legal representative, that is not compliant with this policy (reference *exceptions* for acceptable deviations from this policy).
7. ICAs will establish plans based on the wage (at or above minimum wage) established by the participant and based on the applicability of the live-in domestic service employee exemption to overtime pay. Wages must be usual and customary compared to other long-term care programs.
8. Participants choosing to exercise employer authority should take measures to set a schedule that provides sufficient staff coverage while adhering to the 40-hour policy for each worker. To ensure the participant has sufficient care, he/she should communicate the schedule to PHWs in advance of the workweek.
9. The Department of Health Services (DHS) is the funder of IRIS services. The participant is the employer of record for their PHWs. As the employer, the participant has an obligation to comply with all applicable employment laws, including the Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA).

Payments

1. As the funder, DHS is responsible for making payments to PHWs for any allowable 1915(c) Home and Community-Based Services waiver service(s) approved by the employer and in compliance with the 40-Hour Health and Safety Assurance Policy.
2. As the employer of record, the participant is responsible for only approving workers (collectively) to work up to the amount of services authorized on the ISSP and only for the amount of services rendered during a given payroll period. “Approving” in this context means a signature on the worker’s timesheet or claim.
3. If a fiscal employer agent (FEA) receives a timesheet(s) approved by the participant (employer of record) that exceeds the amount of services authorized on the plan, the FEA will pay the full amount approved, including any applicable overtime amount, if any. However, this may be an example of budget authority and employer authority mismanagement because the approval exceeds the authorization. Example: A participant is authorized for 35 hours per week of supportive home care (SHC), but the participant signs a timesheet approving 45 hours of SHC with no exception requested or approved, and submits the timesheet for payment to their FEA. The participant has exceeded the authorized services limit and failed to comply with the 40-hour Health and Safety Assurance Policy.
4. The FEA is responsible for tracking and notifying DHS and the ICA of an instance of approved services exceeding the ISSP authorized amount.
5. Chronic approval of services by the participant (employer) not authorized on the participant’s plan may result in the participant being referred for involuntary disenrollment per IRIS Policy 7.1A.1. Mismanagement or Abuse of Budget Authority or Mismanagement Abuse of Employer Authority. This business rule is not applicable in instances where a retroactive exception has been approved.

Exceptions

1. The following exceptions to the 40-hour limit are to be utilized with the foremost consideration of the participant’s health and safety. However, there are situations whereby a participant’s health and safety may be better served by allowing the 40-hour limit per PHW per participant to be exceeded. The exemptions will apply on a case-by-case basis, as described below. There are two types of exceptions to the 40-hour limit allowed under this policy:
 - a. A continuous and ongoing exception that does not jeopardize the health and safety of the participant. “Continuous and ongoing” means greater than 60 days.
 - b. A short-term planned or unplanned exception. “Short term” means less than or equal to 60 days. Short-term unplanned exceptions may be approved retroactively.

2. An exception to the 40-hour limit allows some workers to provide additional coverage, for the same participant, above 40 hours per week, in the interest of that participant's health and safety. IRIS Consultants (ICs) are prohibited from using the exception request form (F-01689) for requests related to increasing a participant's *amount of care*.
 - a. ICs are required to follow IRIS policy 5.0 Person Centered Planning for participants requesting service increases that fit within the participant's budget.
 - b. ICs are required to follow IRIS policy 5.7 Additional Funding Requests for participants requesting service increases that do not fit within the participant's budget.
3. Continuous and ongoing exceptions are limited to .25 to 20.0 additional hours per workweek, per worker, above 40 hours. Continuous and ongoing exceptions must meet one of the conditions described under Continuous and Ongoing Exception Criteria below
4. Participants may request a continuous and ongoing exception that exceeds 60 hours per workweek, per worker, for workers who share a household with their employer. These exceptions will be reviewed on a case-by-case basis to ensure: 1) the participant's needs are met, 2) the plan is safe and healthy, and 3) the request is compliant with the exception criteria in this policy.
5. All participants with an approved continuous and ongoing exception that exceeds 60 hours per workweek, per worker, will receive additional in-person contacts by their IC to ensure the participant's health and safety.
 - a. The additional contact requirements include an every-other-month face-to-face visit requiring that the participant is present at the visit.
 - b. The IC will have no less than six face-to-face visits in a rolling 12-month period for participants who have workers who are members of the participant's household and exceed 60 hours per workweek.
6. IRIS participants who do not share a household with their employees are prohibited from requesting a continuous and ongoing exception that exceeds 60 hours per workweek, per worker.
7. Short-term planned or unplanned exceptions may exceed 60 additional hours per workweek, per worker. Short-term planned or unplanned exceptions must meet one of the conditions described under Short-Term Planned or Unplanned Exception Criteria below.
8. Short-term planned or unplanned exceptions may be submitted retroactively. Participants should notify their IC of the need to submit a retrospective short-term exception at their next scheduled contact.
9. Once the participant notifies the IC that a retrospective short-term exception request is required, ICs should schedule an in-person visit to complete the request within 30 days of being notified.
10. If a budget amendment is required to ensure budget authority for an exception to this policy, the budget amendment procedures must be followed per IRIS policy 5.7.

11. A participant can initiate the exceptions request process by contacting his/her IC. The IC will complete the 40-Hour Health and Safety Assurance Exception Request (F-01689) form with the participant and/or guardian.
12. The IC will make a determination if the exception meets the participant's needs, is safe and healthy, and meets one or more of the exception criteria in this policy. The IC will notify the participant at that point of approval or denial of the exception request. If a determination cannot be made at that point, the IC has five business days to issue a decision to the participant.
13. A notice of action will not be issued for a denial of an exception request because there was no adverse action (denial, reduction, or termination of services) regarding the number of care hours available to the participant. An additional qualified provider may provide the hours in excess of 40 hours.
14. Participants are responsible for ensuring that they do not sign a timesheet or in any way promise payment for hours worked in excess of the approved service authorization.

Self-Directed Personal Care (SDPC) and Exceptions

The service of SDPC, as defined in the IRIS Service Definition Manual ([P-00708B](#)), is required to use the same payment methodology of the equivalent service available through the state plan—Medical Assistance Personal Care (MAPC).

Therefore, overtime rates that exceed the state plan amount are not permitted.

1. If a worker shares a household with the participant/employer, and therefore is not entitled to overtime pay, an exception request is permitted for SDPC services.
2. If a worker only provides SDPC, does not share a household with the participant, and is therefore entitled to overtime, an exception request is not permitted.
3. A worker who provides a combination of waiver services and SDPC services and does not share a household with the participant is entitled to overtime pay. Said worker may submit an exception request, but the exception would apply to the waiver services only.

Continuous and Ongoing Exception Criteria

If the participant's situation meets one of the exception criteria below, IC may allow an individual worker to exceed 40 hours of paid caregiving during a single workweek. If a worker meets the definition of live-in caregiver (i.e., shares a household with the participant), the continuous, and ongoing exception for an individual worker may exceed 60 hours of paid care during a single workweek. Regardless of the number of hours requested on the exception, the IC is responsible for ensuring that the ISSP meets the participant's needs, is safe and healthy, and meets one or more of the criteria.

Continuous and ongoing exceptions will be reviewed annually by the IC based on the criteria outlined in business rule #4 under the *Exceptions* section of this policy.

1. **Geographic Exception:** No PHWs or agency-employed caregivers within 45 minutes of the individual’s service location. This exception relates to provider availability limitations due to distance and population (not provider qualifications).
2. **Provider Availability (Qualifications):** No PHWs or agency-employed caregivers are qualified to meet the needs of the participant. This exception does not include geographic exceptions as noted in business rule #34.
3. **Reasonable Planning Exception:** A participant’s ISSP care needs have not consistently exceeded 60 hours and it is not reasonable to hire an additional PHW for the hours exceeding 40 or to split the hours between two workers. Example: A participant’s plan has consistently required 52 hours of supportive home care. It would not be reasonable to hire an additional worker for only six hours or to split the time (26 hours each) between two PHWs.

Short-Term Planned or Unplanned Exception Criteria

If the participant’s situation meets one of the exception criteria below, DHS may allow an individual worker to exceed 60 hours of paid caregiving during a single workweek. However, it is the responsibility of the participant and the IC to continue to work towards identifying additional provider resources to mitigate workers from chronically working over 60 hours, to reduce the health and safety risks to participants and their PHWs.

1. **Emergency Exception:** An event occurs that requires a caregiver to exceed 40 hours where the event cannot be addressed through the emergency backup plan and cannot be anticipated. This includes a snowstorm where the provider must work longer than originally scheduled.
2. **Existing Provider Exception:** A PHW dies, is incapacitated, resigns, is terminated, or no longer meets service definition requirements. This also includes a worker who cannot work due to illness or who is on short-term leave.
3. **Participant Out-of-Town Exception:** An individual requires care to ensure their health and safety while they are traveling, and it is not feasible to bring in additional providers.
4. **Respite Care Exception:** There are limited, short-term, planned periods of respite and it is not feasible to hire and train additional providers to work while the primary caregiver is unavailable.
5. **Change in Condition Exception:** An urgent need for care arises and exceeding the limit is unavoidable without risking the health and safety of the individual.
6. **Complex Needs Exception:** A participant’s complex behavioral needs require a highly qualified supportive home care worker and overtime is needed until additional workers can be identified and adequately trained.

The chart below is a summary guide of the allowable and non-allowable exception requests.

Exception Request Type	Hours Caregiver is Working per Workweek	Live-In Caregiver	Non Live-In Caregiver
------------------------	---	-------------------	-----------------------



Ongoing	40.25–60.00 hours	Allowed	Allowed
Ongoing	Over 60 hours	Allowed	Not Allowed
Short Term (Less than 60 Days)	40.25–60 hours	Allowed	Allowed
Short Term (Less than 60 Days)	Over 60 hours	Allowed	Allowed
SDPC Service Only Ongoing	40.25–60 hours	Allowed	Not Allowed
SDPC Service Only Ongoing	Over 60 hours	Allowed	Not Allowed
SDPC and Other Services Ongoing	40.25–60 hours	Allowed	Allowed*
SDPC and Other Services Ongoing	Over 60 hours	Allowed	Not Allowed
SDPC and Other Services Short Term (Less than 60 Days)	40.25–60 hours	Allowed	Allowed*
SDPC and Other Services Short Term (Less than 60 Days)	Over 60 hours	Allowed	Allowed*

* Exception requests for non-live-in caregivers who provide a combination of self-directed personal care and other waiver services is allowable. However, the request can only be applied to the other waiver services, not the self-directed personal care services. If your worker only provides self-directed personal care services and is classified as “non-live-in,” the worker is limited to working 40 hours per workweek.

Education

Step #	Responsible Partner(s)	Detail
Step 1	ICA	Each ICA maintains responsibility for ensuring field staff is knowledgeable about these requirements and is adequately prepared to assist participants in plan development incorporating the 40-hour health and safety assurance requirement.
Step 2	FEA	Each FEA maintains responsibility for ensuring their staff is knowledgeable about these requirements and is adequately prepared to implement the assurance requirements to PHWs pay and to provide accurate information to participants and PHWs.
Step 3	ICA	Each ICA maintains responsibility for educating participants regarding the 40-Hour Health and Safety Assurance Policy for PHW using the IRIS Participant Education Manual (P-01704). This education must occur prior to submitting paperwork to hire the first PHW.
Step 4	Participants	As the employer, each participant is responsible for educating his or her current and future workers regarding the 40-Hour Health and Safety Assurance Policy using the IRIS Participant Education Manual (P-01704). This education must occur prior to the employee providing services.

Incorporating the 40-hour Health and Safety Assurance into the Planning Process

Step 5	Participant, IC	The participant and the IC ensure that the participant has hired enough PHWs and/or agency workers to provide the participant’s needed cares.
--------	-----------------	---

Step 6	IC	The IC verifies that there are a sufficient number of workers available to provide the hours authorized to meet the participant’s long-term care outcomes and health and safety needs.
--------	----	--

Submitting Timesheets

Step 7	Participant	The participant requires the worker to complete his or her timesheets reflecting the actual number of hours worked.
Step 8	Participant	The participant reviews and approves the PHW’s timesheets, ensuring the total hours do not exceed the authorized amount on the participant’s ISSP.

How FEAs will apply the 40-hour Health and Safety Assurance to Timesheets Exceeding the 40-Hour Limit

Step 9	FEA	The FEA reviews the timesheets and pays according to the timesheets approved by the employer.
Step 10	FEA	The FEA notifies the ICA of the number of hours that were paid, but that exceeded the service authorization. The FEA tracks the occurrences of timesheets it pays that exceed the service authorization and sends a report to the ICA each payroll cycle for follow-up.

Requesting Additional Hours

Step 11	ICA, Participant	The ICA and the participant develop an ISSP and accompanying service authorizations for an additional .25 to 60.0 hours for an individual worker above 40 hours across all services paid at an hourly rate on the approved ISSP.
Step 12	ICA, Participant	The ICA and the participant complete the 40-Hour Health and Safety Assurance Exception Request (F-01689) and provide justification for the request.
Step 13	ICA	The ICA reviews the completed F-01689 relevant to the participant’s needs, the safeness, and healthiness of the plan, and the exception requirements identified in business rules.
Step 14	ICA	Generally, the IC should make a decision with the participant at that time based on the conversation surrounding the completion of the exception request form. If a decision cannot be issued immediately, the IC will notify the participant within five business days of the decision.
Step 15	ICA	If the request is approved, the IC should indicate the approval on form F-01689, upload the form into Wisconsin Self-Directing Information Technology System (WISITS) using the document category: “Participant-Hired Worker” and document type: “40-Hour Health and Safety Assurance Exception Request.” The IC should update the ISSP accordingly.
Step 16	ICA	If required, the ICA prepares and submits a budget amendment request per IRIS Policy 5.7A Budget Amendments.
Step 17	ICA	If required, the IC explains the additional oversight visits required.
Step 18	ICA	If the request is denied, indicate the denial on form F-01689, upload the form into WISITS using the document category: “Participant-Hired Worker” and document type: “40-Hour Health and Safety Assurance Exception Request.” The IC will send the exception request denial letter notifying the participant.

Step 19	ICA	The IC identifies situations where a current plan does not meet this policy and assists the participant to make changes in his/her IRIS plan in order to become compliant.
Step 20	ICA	Refer individuals who are unwilling or unable to make plan changes to achieve compliance with this policy to DHS for program disenrollment approval.

Data Collection, Reporting, and Monitoring

Step 21	DHS	DHS ensures the completion of the IRIS Participant Education Manual—Acknowledgement (F-01947) form through the record review process. Remediation of individual negative findings is completed as described in section 10.4A.1 Record Review Process.
Step 22	DHS	DHS reviews the data related to additional hour requests available in WISITS regularly and addresses any performance issues with the appropriate ICA or FEA through the Quality Management Plan process outlined in Section 10.4B.1.
Step 23	FEA	The FEA provides DHS with quarterly data regarding timesheets in excess of the 40-hour health and safety assurance. The FEA provides the following data points: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Number of PHWs submitting timesheets in excess of the 40-hour assurance. • Number of hours paid that exceeded the 40-hour assurance. • Number of dollars paid for the hours that exceeded the 40-hour assurance.

3.1 Assessing Risk

Business Rules

1. The Department of Health Services (DHS) defines “risk” as the presence of factors that could jeopardize or otherwise negatively affect the participant’s health and safety.
2. The IRIS consultant agency (ICA) is responsible to assess risk on an ongoing basis; however, the ICA must document a formal risk assessment process that occurs, at a minimum, during orientation and on an annual basis.
3. DHS does not mandate the use of a specific form to facilitate or document the ICA’s risk assessment process.
4. DHS requires ICAs to assess risk in all areas including, but not limited to:
 - a. Acute and chronic medical issues
 - b. Access to primary care provider, pharmacy, medical supplies, and non-emergency medical transportation
 - c. Abuse, neglect (including self-neglect), and misappropriation of funds
 - d. Behavior and mental health needs
 - e. Substance abuse needs
 - f. Relationship concerns
 - g. Financial concerns
 - h. Egress issues (inability to safely get in and out of the residence)
 - i. Falls
 - j. Inability to obtain providers
 - k. Eviction, foreclosure, or other cause for housing loss

- l. Insufficient backup plan
 - m. Environmental issues (unsanitary conditions, housing structural issues, or exposure to crime)
 - n. Issues identified on the Long-Term Care Functional Screen (LTC FS)
 - o. Reportable critical incidents
5. ICAs must report all critical incidents identified through the assessment of risk according to section 4.4 using the Incident Report – Medicaid Waiver Programs ([F-22541](#)) and the DHS Critical Incident Reporting SharePoint site.
 6. Upon discovery of the risk, the IRIS Consultant (IC) must work with the participant or legal representative to ensure the immediate and ongoing health and safety of the participant.
 7. Participants have the right to make informed decisions about risk. This means that when a participant chooses not to address an identified risk, the IC provides information about accessing alternative options and then asks the participant if they maintain their original decision.
 8. The ICA must inform participants choosing not to address identified risks that a referral may be made to DHS for a decision on involuntary disenrollment should a risk be serious enough to create a severe health or safety concern.
 9. The IC has two separate and distinct conversations with the participant concerning the risk. The IC documents both conversations on the same form, Risk Agreement – IRIS Program ([F-01558](#)). The initial conversation occurs immediately at the time of the discovery of the risk. This discussion includes the identification of risk to the participant, an explanation of why the IRIS program perceives the issue as a risk, the IC's attempt to encourage the participant to address the risk, and the outcome of the initial discussion. In situations that require the use of F-01558, the IC documents this conversation in numbers 1, 2, and 3, respectively.
 10. [F-01558](#) is only required when the participant chooses not to address the identified risks. It is not necessary when the IRIS participant uses IRIS-funded services, Medicaid card services, unpaid supports, or other strategies to address the concern. The IC must clearly document these services, supports, and strategies in the participant's Individual Support and Service Plan (ISSP).
 11. The follow-up conversation must include a discussion of options, how to access the options, and the participant's plan of action or inaction.
 12. While the participant has the right to make informed decisions about risk, the IRIS program has the obligation to the participant and the Centers of Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) to ensure the health and welfare of the participant.
 13. In cases wherein the participant and IC cannot reach an agreeable solution that ensures the health and welfare of the participant, the ICA has the right to submit a request for involuntary disenrollment to DHS for consideration. The ICA determines whether to send the referral for involuntary disenrollment.

14. In cases wherein the IC is considering the submission of a request for involuntary disenrollment, the IC **must** document this on the Risk Agreement – IRIS Program ([F-01558](#)) in number 4 as part of the initial conversation and in number 10 as part of the follow-up conversation.
15. The follow-up discussion must occur within 24 hours of the initial conversation in cases wherein the IC is considering the submission of a request for involuntary disenrollment and within 10 calendar days of the initial conversation in which the IC is not considering involuntary disenrollment.
16. The IC, the participant, and the legal representative (when applicable) must sign the Risk Agreement – IRIS Program ([F-01558](#)).

Identifying Risk

Step #	Responsible Partner(s)	Detail
Step 1	IC, Participant	Clearly documented risk assessments occur during orientation and annually thereafter. The IC assesses for risk on an ongoing basis.
Step 2	IC, Participant	The IC and participant have a conversation about risk-related subjects including, but not limited to, those identified in Business Rule number 4.
Step 3	IC	The IC performs observations of the participant’s residence, person, and other environmental factors to assess risk.
Step 4	IC	The IC submits the Incident Report – Medicaid Waiver Programs form (F-22541) for all reportable incidents discovered during the course of assessing risk.
Step 5	IC, Participant	The IC and participant work together to ensure that participant’s immediate and ongoing health and safety.

Addressing Risk in the ISSP

Step #	Responsible Partner(s)	Detail
Step 6	IC, Participant	The IC and the participant work together to identify strategies, unpaid supports, supports funded by other sources, and IRIS-funded supports to address the identified risk.
Step 7	IC	The IC documents the identified strategies, supports, and services to mitigate the risk on the ISSP.
Step 8	Participant	The participant engages providers or participant-hired workers as needed to provide the identified supports or services on the ISSP to mitigate the identified risk.
Step 9	IC	The IC monitors the risk to ensure the success of the course of action determined by the participant.

Allowing for Informed Decision-Making about Risk

Step #	Responsible Partner(s)	Detail
Step 10	IC	When the participant states that he or she does not want to address an identified risk, the IC restates the identified risk, identifies why it is considered a risk, and encourages the participant to agree to mitigate the risk.

Step 11	IC	The IC notifies the participant he or she is at risk of referral for involuntary disenrollment at the conclusion of the initial conversation regarding the risk if the risk is not mitigated.
Step 12	IC	The IC completes numbers 1-4 on the Risk Agreement – IRIS Program form (F-01558) documenting the initial conversation.
Step 13	IC	The IC completes number 5-6 on the F-01558 identifying how to access alternative solutions to mitigate the risk
Step 14	IC, Participant	The IC and participant schedule a follow-up meeting. The follow-up meeting must be within 24 hours if the risk is such that the participant is at risk of referral for involuntary disenrollment and within 10 calendar days if the participant is not at risk of referral for involuntary disenrollment.
Step 15	IC, Participant	The IC presents the alternative solutions to the participant. The IC documents the follow-up discussion during the meeting in numbers 7-10 on the F-01558 , including the final plan determined by the participant.
Step 16	IC, Participant	The IC and the participant sign the document agreeing to the content of the F-01558 . If the IC is considering the submission of a request for involuntary disenrollment, the IC must disclose this to the participant, and it must be acknowledged as part of signing the F-01558 .
Step 17	IC, Participant	The IC and participant update the ISSP to reflect the plan identified in Step 15.
Step 18	IC	In cases where the participant does not agree to a plan in Step 15, and the ICA is not referring the participant for involuntary disenrollment, the IC continues to monitor and encourage the participant to mitigate the risk. The IC documents these activities in case notes.
Step 19	IC	In cases where the participant does not agree to a plan in Step 15, but the participant is being referred for involuntary disenrollment, the IC follows the program requested disenrollment process per Chapter 7.

Monitoring

Step 21	DHS	DHS completes record review monitoring for compliance with indicator Service Plan number 2 that measures whether the ICA adequately addressed the health and safety risks identified in the participant’s record.
Step 22	DHS, ICA	DHS ensures that the ICA remediates each negative finding in the record review.
Step 23	DHS, ICA	DHS and the ICA discuss any apparent trends or other issues during the monthly quality meetings.

3.2 Restrictive Measures

Business Rules

1. The Department of Health Services (DHS) does not permit the unapproved use of restrictive measures except in the case of an emergency. The emergency (unapproved) use of restrictive measures is only permitted when the following conditions are present:

- An emergency exists;
 - A participant's behavior poses an immediate threat of harm to self or others;
 - There is no approved behavior support plan for that participant that includes the planned use of restraint, isolation, or protective equipment intended to address this behavior or there is an approved plan, but it has been found to be ineffective;
 - The behavior in question has either not occurred previously or could not have been reasonably foreseen to occur based on observations of the participant's behavior.
2. The IRIS Consultant Agency (ICA) must complete and submit an Incident Report – Medicaid Waiver Programs ([F-22541](#)) each time unauthorized or emergency restrictive measures are used.
 3. The IRIS Consultant is responsible to provide the participant or legal representative with education on the restrictive measures process using the Participant Education Manual ([P-01704](#)) during the orientation process and annually.
 4. Each ICA is responsible to ensure that its IRIS Consultants receive Department-approved training encompassing the following information during the orientation process and annually:
 - Utilizing the Long Term Care Functional Screen and other parts of the participant's record as tools to identify behaviors;
 - Identifying behaviors in the home and community;
 - Developing strategies to support behaviors in the least restrictive way possible;
 - Developing communication skills related to discussing behaviors with families;
 - Identifying restrictive measures;
 - Following the guidelines and requirements for the use of restrictive measures and these work instructions; and
 - Developing strategies to replace challenging behaviors with positive behaviors.
 5. The IRIS Consultant must discuss the effectiveness of the restrictive measures plan at every contact with the participant and/or legal representative whether by phone or email, or in person. These discussions must be documented in detail in the case notes.
 6. Every six months the entire plan must be reviewed and the participant and/or legal representative should again sign the signature page indicating agreement with the behavior support plan, including the use of restrictive measures.
 7. All restrictive measures applications for participants in the developmentally disabled target group are reviewed by the Division of Long Term Care (DLTC) Restrictive Measures Review Panel.

8. All restrictive measures applications for participants in the frail elder and/or physically disabled target groups who reside in a facility regulated by the Division of Quality Assurance (DQA) are reviewed by the Waivers, Approvals, Variances, Exceptions (WAVE) Committee.
9. All restrictive measures applications for participants in the frail elder and/or physically disabled target groups who do not reside in a facility regulated by DQA are reviewed by the IRIS Restrictive Measures Review Panel.
10. The IRIS Restrictive Measures Review Panel is chaired by the DHS IRIS Quality Lead and is comprised of representatives from the DHS IRIS Quality Team.
11. Each member of the IRIS Restrictive Measures Review Panel must have documented training conducted by the Department of Health Services on an annual basis.
12. All approvals for restrictive measures must have an expiration date. Medical restraints may be approved one-time and therefore do not need re-approval.
13. Exceptional measures are defined as specific forms of restraint that, due to their level of intrusiveness, present a potentially higher level of risk to the participant and to those implementing the procedure; exceptional measures include but are not limited to the following:
 - Physically forcing the participant to the ground or other surface;
 - Physically forcing an individual to lay in a horizontal position;
 - Restraint vests, jackets, camisoles used to restrain, body wraps;
 - Devices that are used to tie or secure a wrist or ankle to prevent movement;
 - Restraint chairs or chairs with devices that prevent movement; may include wheelchairs if they are used to restrain;
 - Removal of a participant's mobility aids such as a wheelchair, walker, or cane;
 - Bed enclosures;
 - Seclusion by the use of closed rooms locked with a mechanism that requires the constant application of some type of pressure or control to maintain the locked condition. (Note: all other forms of seclusion are prohibited.);
 - Criteria for the release of someone from a restrictive measure that calls for maintaining the restraint for more than five minutes after the participant is calm;
 - Any practice that is more restrictive than these practices listed here; or
 - The waiving or modification of any process requirement associated with the approval process covered in these work instructions is also an exceptional measure.
14. The following documents are required to be submitted as part of the restrictive measures application:

- Request for Use of Restraints, Isolation, or Protective Equipment as Part of a Behavior Support Plan ([F-62607](#)) or Request for Use of Medical Restraints ([F-62608](#)).
- Pictures of the Restrictive Measure.
- Doctor’s approval (Signature/Letter).
- Cover letter from ICA indicating the Restrictive Measure type and reason for application.
- Data collection form of the activity surrounding the use of the Restrictive Measure.
- Appropriate signatures from the participant’s circle of support.
- Behavior Support Plan.

15. The following committees are responsible for the review of restrictive measures applications:

- DHS Restrictive Measures Review Panel – Reviews all restrictive measures applications for participants with developmental disabilities.
- WAVE – Reviews all restrictive measures applications for participants who are elderly, or who have physical disabilities and reside in a facility licensed by DHS Division of Quality Assurance (DQA).
- Office of IRIS Management Review Panel – Reviews all restrictive measures applications for participants who are elderly, or who have physical disabilities and do not reside in a facility license by DQA.

16. The Office of IRIS Management (OIM) is responsible for extracting data related to restrictive measures from the Wisconsin Self-Directed Information Technology System (WISITS).

Development of Restrictive Measures Application

Step #	Responsible Partner(s)	Detail
Step 1	IC, Participant	The IRIS Consultant provides the participant or legal representative with education on the restrictive measures process using the Participant Education Manual (P-01704) during the orientation process and annually. The participant or legal representative and IRIS Consultant sign the document indicating understanding of the process.
Step 2	Participant	The participant or legal representative identifies a circle of support to help develop the behavior support plan and restrictive measures application.
Step 3	Circle of Support, Participant	With their Circle of Support, the participant completes the restrictive measures application and required supplementary documentation as identified in Business Rule number 13.
Step 4	IC	IC completes final review and submits to the ICA for the ICA pre-review using the work request type, “Restrictive Measures: ICA Complete Pre-review.”
Step 5	ICA	ICA submits application for OIM pre-review using the work request type, “Restrictive Measures: OIM Complete Pre-review.”

Restrictive Measures Application Approval Process

Step 6	OIM	OIM completes pre-review of restrictive measures application to ensure the application is complete and ready for panel review.
Step 7	OIM	When additional work is needed, OIM sends a work request to the ICA requesting additional information using the work request type, “Restrictive Measures: Additional Info (ICA).”
Step 8	OIM	When the application is complete, OIM sends a work request to each member of the appropriate review panel as described in Business Rule number 14, using the appropriate work request type, “Restrictive Measures: Panel Review (DHS/WAVE/OIM)”
Step 9	Review Panel/OIM/ICA	The review panel reviews the application. When additional work is needed, the review panel sends a work request to OIM requesting additional information using the work request type, “Restrictive Measures: Additional Info (OIM).”
Step 10	OIM/ICA	If OIM is unable to provide the panel with the needed information, OIM sends a work request to the ICA requesting additional information using the work request type, “Restrictive Measures: Additional Info (ICA).”
Step 11	ICA	ICA resubmits the restrictive measures application to OIM using the work request type, “Restrictive Measures: OIM Complete Pre-Review.”
Step 12	OIM	When appropriate, OIM resubmits the updated restrictive measures application to the review panel using the work request type, “Restrictive Measures: Panel Review (DHS/WAVE/OIM).”
Step 13	Review Panel	Review panel makes decision and notifies OIM using the work request type, “Restrictive Measures: Decision Issued.”

Communication of Review Panel’s Decision and Implementation of Restrictive Measures

Step 14	OIM	OIM receives notification of the decision from the review panel. OIM documents the decision in WISITS using the case note type, “Restrictive Measures: Decision.”
Step 15	OIM	OIM notifies the ICA of the review panel’s decision by sending the letter via the appropriate work request type, “Restrictive Measures: Decision Letter Approved/Denied/Approved with Conditions: Behavioral/Medical – Type” to the ICA. ICA will also attach the approved application as well as copy of the letter in WISITS.
Step 16	OIM	OIM creates a work request to prompt the renewal of the application approximately 60 days prior to the approval’s expiration date using the work request type, “Restrictive Measures: Application Renewal.”
Step 17	ICA	The ICA sends the letter to the participant or legal representative informing them of the review panel’s decision.
Step 18	ICA	The ICA sends notice to the IC of the review panel’s decision and any instructions relative to implementation of the approved restrictive measures using the work request type, “Restrictive Measures: Implementation.”
Step 19	IC, Participant	The IC and participant work together to implement the approved restrictive measures to include training the caregivers responsible for using the restrictive measures. The IC documents implementation activities using the case note type, “Restrictive Measures: Implementation.”

ICA Monitoring of Restrictive Measures

Step 20	DHS	OIM sends monitoring work requests for each month the restrictive measures application is approved triggering the IRIS Consultant to complete monitoring activities. The work request type used is, “Restrictive Measures: Monitoring.”
Step 21	IC	The IRIS Consultant visits with the participant or legal representative either by phone or email, or in person and discusses the effectiveness of the approved restrictive measures. The IC documents this discussion thoroughly in WISITS using the case note type, “Restrictive Measures: Monitoring.”
Step 22	IC	In situations where the IC finds that the restrictive measures are ineffective, the IC documents this information using the case note type, “Restrictive Measures: Modification of Approved Application” and initiates the modification of the application using the work request type, “Restrictive Measures: Modification of Approved Application.” The IC then repeats steps 4-19.
Step 23	IC	In situations where the IC finds that the restrictive measures are no longer needed, the IC documents this information using the case note type, “Restrictive Measures: Discontinuation of Approved Application” and initiates the discontinuation of the application sending the work request type to OIM, “Restrictive Measures: Discontinuation of Approved Application.”
Step 24	OIM	OIM will issue a letter of discontinuation to the ICA using work request type, “Restrictive Measures: Discontinuation Letter.”
Step 25	ICA	ICA will mail the letter to legal representative to keep for their records and attach a copy of the letter in WISITS.
Step 26	IC	Upon completion of all monthly activities related to the monitoring of restrictive measures, the IC closes the monitoring work request for the month.

DHS Monitoring of Restrictive Measures Processes

Step 27	OIM	<p>Using data from WISITS, OIM evaluates following data elements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • length of time it takes to process restrictive measures applications, • types of restrictive measures, • target groups using restrictive measures, • whether applications were approved or denied, • the quality of the application submitted, and • the quality of contacts documenting the IRIS consultants’ monthly monitoring activities. <p>This list is not all-inclusive.</p>
Step 28	OIM	OIM collects data for federal reporting through the record review process in accordance with section 10.4A.1, Record Review Process .
Step 29	OIM	OIM provides the IRIS consultant agencies with information related to positive and negative trends identified concerning restrictive measures. OIM also prescribes individual remediation activities using the work request type, “Restrictive Measures: Remediation”.
Step 30	OIM, ICA	OIM requires the ICA to complete quality management activities in accordance with section 10.4B.1, Quality Management Plans , to address negative trends.

Step 31	ICA	The ICAs are responsible for remediating any negative findings. The ICA documents remediation activities for negative findings discovered outside the record review process using the case note type, “Restrictive Measures: Remediation.”
---------	-----	--

3.3 Critical Incident Reporting

Business Rules

Refer to addendum: [Reporting and Follow-up for Immediate Reportable and Critical Incidents, P-03131, \(PDF\)](#).

3.4 Emergency Backup Plan

See IRIS Policy: [IRIS Service Plan, P-00708D \(PDF\)](#).

Chapter 4: IRIS Service Plan

See IRIS Policy: [IRIS Service Plan, P-00708D \(PDF\)](#).

4.0 IRIS Additional Funding Requests

See IRIS Policy: [Additional Funding Requests, P03656 \(PDF\)](#).

4.1 Budget Amendment Annual Verification

Business Rules

1. IRIS participants who had an approved BA and continue to meet the policy-defined criteria must complete the Budget Amendment Annual Verification (BAAV) form (F-01210B) to demonstrate justification for continuing the previously approved BA.
2. During the participant's annual plan renewal period, previously approved budget amendments should be assessed for continuation criteria, which includes assessing the long-term care needs and outcomes that facilitated approval of the budget amendment using the Budget Amendment Annual Verification (BAAV) form (F-01210B)
3. The BAAV request is uploaded and saved in the Enterprise Care Management System under document type “BAAV-Calendar Year.”

4.2 One-Time Expense Requests

Business Rules

1. IRIS Consultant Agencies (ICAs) maintain responsibility for training IRIS participants and staff on the One-Time Expense (OTE) Request process using the document, Participant Education: One-Time Expense Requests ([F-01205C](#)). IRIS Consultants (ICs) provide this document and corresponding education to IRIS participants each time he/she requests an OTE.
2. Each ICA maintains responsibility for assisting the IRIS participant and/or his/her legal representative with the navigation of the OTE process.

3. Each ICA maintains responsibility for submitting the IRIS participant's OTE request, including bids, within 60 days of the IRIS participant and/or his/her legal representative identifying the need for additional funding.
4. The IC maintains responsibility to request only one service/support/good per request form and per SharePoint entry. For example, the IC submits three forms and three SharePoint entries when an IRIS participant wants to add to their budget to fund three different services/supports/goods. However, all components of a bathroom modification project, for example, can be on the same OTE request. The IC submits one OTE request for a bathroom modification that includes the new floor, new tub, and wider doorway.
5. Materials used in home modifications must be the most cost-effective available. For example, the DHS Review Committee does not approve OTE requests in which the flooring material in the bid was for an expensive material, when more cost-effective alternatives are available and appropriate.
6. The IC maintains responsibility to ensure OTE requests for home modifications only include improvements related to the IRIS participant's disability. For example, when an IRIS participant needs his/her bathroom doorway widened to accommodate his/her wheelchair, it is not appropriate to request a new vanity and bathroom sink if the vanity and cabinet replacement are not related to the IRIS participant's disability. However, if the vanity/sink modification is needed to accommodate the wheelchair, it is permissible to enable the participant to be more independent.
7. The IRIS participant and IC must submit a required accessibility assessment completed by a qualified assessor who is independent of the contractor, for all home modifications, vehicle modifications, and adaptive aids. Accessibility assessments are valid for one year.
8. The One-Time Expense Vendor Bid Comparison ([F-01206A](#)) form must demonstrate that the provider's quotes or bids are equitable in terms of type and quantity of service to be delivered. A minimum of three quotes or bids must be included on the form. In the event the participant is unable to obtain three quotes or bids, the participant must submit documentation, including the name(s) of the providers from whom they attempted to obtain quotes or bids and the reason(s) why the provider was unable to be a viable option.
9. The vendor comparison sheet must demonstrate that the bids are equitable in terms of materials and tasks to be completed. The IRIS participant is required to submit a minimum of three bids. For example:
 - a. Conflict/Non-Comparable Materials: A vendor comparison sheet that has one vendor proposing linoleum and another vendor as proposing tile for the flooring of a home modification is not acceptable, as an accurate comparison between the estimates cannot be made.
 - b. Conflict/Non-Comparable Tasks: A vendor comparison sheet that has one vendor proposing lowering the kitchen countertops, and another vendor proposing lowering the countertops *and* replacing the kitchen floor is not acceptable as an accurate comparison between the estimates cannot be made.

10. The vendor comparison sheet provides the opportunity for the IRIS participant to indicate his/her preferred vendor. The DHS Review Committee is not obligated to approve the IRIS participant's preferred vendor when another vendor would be more cost effective.
11. The OTE request must include the following documentation: a signed Participant Education sheet, OTE Request Form, Vendor Comparison Form, bids from three vendors, Accessibility Assessment, a current Long Term Care Functional Screen (LTC FS), Supportive Home Care (SHC) Hour Tool, a current Individual Support and Service Plan (ISSP), and any other documentation the IRIS participant and/or his/her legal representative deems relative to the request. The ICA attaches the required documents to the record in the DHS/OTE SharePoint site.
12. An OTE request for a van lift must include the following information: make/model of the vehicle, make/model of the wheelchair, and make/model of the proposed van lift.
13. ISSPs submitted as part of the OTE request must clearly define the service/support/good, the provider(s), the number of units and rate for each provider, and the long-term care outcome the service/support/good supports. The total plan cost of the current ISSP must not exceed the budget amount provided via the LTC FS. All natural supports and any services received through funding sources other than IRIS must be included on the plan. All services/supports/goods paid for with IRIS funds must be allowable Medicaid Waiver Services.
14. The IRIS participant must have a valid driver's license when the OTE request is for modification of the driver's seat/steering mechanism of a vehicle, which the IRIS participant intends to drive.
15. The ICA and DHS use the DHS/OTE SharePoint site to submit the OTE request, exchange all communication between the ICA and the DHS relative to the OTE request, track the progress of the submitted request, review the request, and track the mailing of the letters and Notices of Action (NOA). The ICA and DHS must complete the fields in the DHS/OTE SharePoint site as per the DHS/OTE SharePoint Instruction Guide.
16. The DHS and the ICA enter all communication (email, phone call, fax, letter) exchanged in the "Contact Log Field" in the DHS/OTE SharePoint site. The party initiating the phone call maintains responsibility to log the call in the DHS/OTE SharePoint site. DHS documents directives to the ICA requesting additional work in the "Comments/Conditions/Modifications" field in the DHS/OTE SharePoint site.
17. The DHS Review Committee will not manipulate the ISSP or other aspect of the submitted plans as part of the review process. DHS returns the request to the ICA for additional work before review, and before DHS issues an approval, limitation, modification, or denial of the OTE request as submitted. The IRIS participant, legal representative, and IC maintain responsibility to ensure all requests are complete, are cost-effective, and that the information presented provides clarity regarding the request and the manner in which the request will meet the person's long-term care need.

18. The DHS Review Committee reviews records in the DHS/OTE SharePoint site with the status, “Pending Review” as of noon on Thursday on the preceding week. The DHS Review Committee meets each Tuesday to review requests that meet the requirements in the “Pre-Review” section.
19. The ICA maintains responsibility to notify the appropriate FEA of an approved OTE request by sending the FEA the updated ISSP reflecting the approved request.
20. IRIS participants who meet any of the following criteria are not eligible for OTEs:
 - The IRIS participant resides in a 1-2 Bed Adult Family Home, 3-4 Bed Adult Family Home, Residential Care Apartment Complex, or Community-Based Residential Facility and does not intend to move into the community.
 - The IRIS program is in the process of disenrolling the IRIS participant.
 - The IRIS participant is delinquent in cost share payments.
21. Home Modifications are generally not available in rental units as the IRIS Program is not responsible for modifying a rental unit.

Identifying Needs for One-Time Expense

Step #	Responsible Partner(s)	Detail
Step 1	IRIS Participant	Upon identifying the need for additional cares that will cost more than his/her existing IRIS budget, the IRIS participant is responsible to notify his/her IC to initiate the OTE request process. The IRIS participant and/or his/her legal representative maintain responsibility to provide the required information to submit the OTE request.
Step 2	IC	The IC will review the IRIS participant’s budget, plan, natural supports, other available funding sources, and SHC hour’s tool to determine whether there is any way to meet the IRIS participant’s need using the existing budget.
Step 3	IC, IRIS Participant	The IC and IRIS participant and/or his/her legal representative discuss the outcome of Step 2 to determine when an OTE request is necessary. If the IRIS participant’s needs can be met by adjusting the current ISSP/budget and an OTE request is unnecessary, then the IC submits a plan amendment to update the service(s) on the ISSP to meet the IRIS participant’s needs.

One-Time Expense Submission Process

Step 4	IC, Participant	The IRIS participant and the IC collaboratively complete the OTE Request. The IC maintains responsibility to ensure all fields are completed.
Step 5	ICA	Upon the IRIS participant’s identification of the need for additional funding, the ICA initiates the request in the DHS/OTE SharePoint site. The status of the case in the DHS/OTE SharePoint site is “Open” while the IC and IRIS participant collect the required information. Once initiated, the ICA assigns the DHS/OTE SharePoint record to the designated ICA representative.

Step 6	IC, ICA	<p>The IC and ICA maintain responsibility to collect the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One-Time Expense Request (F-01206); • One-Time Expense Vendor Bid Comparison (F-01206A); • Bids from three vendors; • An Accessibility Assessment (F-01213); • One-Time Expense Request – Ramp (F-01206B) (if applicable); • A current LTC FS; • A completed SHC Hour Tool; • The current ISSP; and • Any other documentation the IRIS participant and/or legal representative deems relative to the request. <p>The ICA maintains responsibility to attach the required documentation to the record in the DHS/OTE SharePoint site.</p>
Step 7	ICA	<p>Upon the completion and attachment of all documentation, and the completion of all required fields in the DHS/OTE SharePoint site, the ICA maintains responsibility to submit this information to DHS. The ICA changes the DHS/OTE SharePoint site status to “Pending Review” and assigns the designated DHS Representative. The system generates an email to the DHS Representative notifying him/her to review the request.</p>

Pre-Review Process

Step 8	DHS	<p>The DHS representative completes a pre-review of the record in the DHS/OTE SharePoint site including all attached documents to ensure the request is complete and accurate prior to reviewing the OTE request. The DHS representative pre-reviews the request includes the following requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The IRIS participant is eligible for an OTE as per Business Rule number 19. • The ICA completed all of the required fields. • The ICA attached all of the required documents. • The ICA attached three comparable bids. • The attached ISSP complies with the requirements in Business Rule number 12. • There is adequate justification for SHC hours that are in excess of the SHC hours recommended by the SHC Hour Tool. <p>The DHS representative may return the request to the ICA for additional work for these or other reasons.</p>
Step 9	DHS	<p>The DHS representative assigns a “DHS Review Date” and assigns the record to the other DHS Review Committee members in the DHS/OTE SharePoint site once the DHS representative indicates the request is ready for DHS review.</p>
Step 10	DHS	<p>The DHS representative changes the “Status” and the “DHS Decision” to “Returned to ICA for Additional Work” when the DHS representative determines a need for additional information or corrections during the pre-review, The DHS representative enters a description of the needed information in the “Comments/Conditions/ Modifications” field.</p>

Step 11	ICA	The ICA maintains responsibility to collect all outstanding information requested by DHS. When the ICA updates and attaches the information to the record in the DHS/OTE SharePoint site, the ICA changes the status back to “Pending Review.”
Step 12	DHS, ICA	Steps 8-11 recur until the DHS representative considers the OTE request ready for DHS review, or the IC and the IRIS participant conclude that the request cannot meet the requirements for an OTE. In this case, the IRIS participant withdraws the OTE request.

DHS Review

Step 13	DHS Review Committee	Each Tuesday, the DHS Review Committee reviews the OTE request and information to ensure the request is the most appropriate and cost-effective way to meet the IRIS participant’s identified need(s). The DHS Review Committee approves, approves with conditions/modifications/time restrictions, limits, or denies the request. The DHS Review Committee only reviews requests that the DHS representative has indicated have passed the “Pre-review” process and labeled with the status, “Pending Review,” as of the previous Thursday.
Step 14	DHS/ICA	Following the review, the DHS Representative completes the following fields in the DHS/OTE SharePoint site to record the decision: “DHS Decision,” “Comments/Conditions/Modifications,” “DHS Decision Date,” “Decision Letter Issue Date,” “Approved Increase,” “Duration of Approval,” “If Denied, Deciding Factor,” or “Date to Send NOA if Independent Review Request Not Received.” The ICA maintains responsibility to review decisions in the DHS/OTE SharePoint site every Wednesday.
Step 15	DHS	The DHS Representative changes the SharePoint status to “Decision Issued” and attaches the IRIS participant notification letters to the DHS/OTE SharePoint record. DHS dates the letter two days in the future to allow the ICA time to mail the letter without impeding the IRIS participant’s ten-day response time.
Step 16	ICA	The ICA mails the DHS decision letter (Budget Amendment/OTE Approval Letter – F-01211 , Budget Amendment/OTE Approval Letter – F-01211A , or Budget Amendment/OTE Denial Letter – F-01211B) attached in SharePoint to the IRIS participant within two calendar days of the decision (by Thursday). The letter communicates the decision to the IRIS participant, and in the event of a denial, the letter also communicates the IRIS participant’s option for an Independent Review.
Step 17	DHS	The DHS Representative monitors incoming mail for communication from the IRIS participant requesting an Independent Review.

Independent Review Process

Step 18	IRIS Participant, IC	<p>Upon receipt of the DHS decision letter, the IRIS participant chooses whether he/she wants to pursue an Independent Review of the DHS Review Committee’s denial of his/her OTE request. The IRIS participant mails additional information or clarification for additional consideration to:</p> <p>IRIS Section Manager Office of IRIS Management 201 E. Washington Ave., Room B300 Madison, WI 53703-7851</p> <p>The IRIS participant must ensure that DHS receives his/her request for an Independent Review within ten calendar days of the date on the DHS Decision letter.</p>
Step 19	DHS	<p>Upon receipt of the IRIS participant’s request for an Independent Review, the DHS Representative changes the status to “Independent Review Requested,” completes the Independent Review Request Received field, and assigns the record to the IRIS Section Chief. The DHS Representative scans and attaches the IRIS participant’s letter and accompanying materials sent with the Independent Review request. The DHS representative attaches this documentation to the request record in the DHS/OTE SharePoint site.</p>
Step 20	DHS Independent Review Committee	<p>A secondary review committee made up of DHS employees will review the original OTE request submitted and the additional information submitted. The DHS Independent Review Committee is convened by the IRIS Section Manager. The DHS Independent Review Committee may either overturn or uphold the DHS Review Committee’s initial decision.</p>
Step 21	DHS	<p>Upon completion of the Independent Review, the DHS Representative completes the following fields in the DHS/OTE SharePoint site: “Date Independent Review Request Received,” “Justification for Independent Review,” “Independent Review Decision,” “Independent Review Decision Detail,” and “Independent Review Decision Date.”</p>
Step 22	DHS	<p>Upon completion of the Independent Review, the DHS Representative generates the appropriate Independent Review decision letter (Budget Amendment/OTE – IR Combination Letter – F-01211C, Budget Amendment/OTE – IR Overturned Letter – F-01211D, or Budget Amendment/OTE – IR Upheld Letter – F-01211E) communicating the outcome of the Independent Review to the participant. The DHS representative attaches the letter to the request record in the DHS/OTE SharePoint site. The DHS representative will change the status to “Independent Review - Decision Issued.”</p>
Step 23	ICA	<p>The ICA mails the Independent Review decision letter attached in SharePoint to the IRIS participant. When the Independent Review committee’s decision aligns with the original decision, meaning the request remains denied, the ICA includes a Notice of Action (F-01204) using the information provided in the DHS/OTE SharePoint site and in the decision letter to the IRIS participant. Whether the original denial is upheld or overturned, the ICA mails the Independent Review decision letter immediately. Upon mailing the letter, the ICA changes the status to “DHS – Contract Compliance Review.”</p>

Step 24	ICA	When DHS issues an NOA, DHS initiates a record in the DHS/NOA SharePoint site. DHS completes the following sections in the DHS/OTE SharePoint site: “Date NOA Sent” and “BA/OTE Case Number.”
Step 25	DHS/ICA	When the IRIS participant does not request an Independent Review within the ten day timeframe, DHS completes and attaches a Budget Amendment/OTE - Non-Response Letter (F-01211F) and NOA in SharePoint. The DHS Representative changes the status to “Independent Review – Decision Issued” to notify the ICA to mail the non-response letter.

Appeals Process

Step 26	IRIS Participant, IC	When the IRIS participant receives the NOA, he/she also receives information regarding the fair hearing process. The IRIS participant may choose to accept DHS’ decision or request a fair hearing. The IC maintains responsibility to assist the IRIS participant in navigating the appeals process.
Step 27	ICA	The ICA maintains responsibility to update information relative to the NOA in the DHS/NOA SharePoint site. Information regarding the fair hearing process is not stored in the DHS/OTE SharePoint site once the ICA mails the NOA.

Monitoring Process

Step 28	DHS	When DHS approves the initial request, DHS completes the following sections in the DHS/OTE SharePoint site after verifying the ICA sent the approval letter: “Request Information Correct,” and “If Not, Why?” The DHS Representative changes the status from “DHS – Contract Compliance Review” to “Closed.”
Step 29	DHS	When DHS denies/limits/modifies the initial request and the IRIS participant does not request an Independent Review within ten business days, DHS completes the following sections in the DHS/OTE SharePoint site after the letter and NOA are sent: “Request Information Correct,” and “If Not, Why?.” The DHS Representative changes the status from “DHS – Contract Compliance Review” to “Closed.”
Step 30	DHS	When DHS denies/limits/modifies the initial request and the IRIS participant requests an Independent Review, DHS completes the following sections in the DHS/OTE SharePoint site after the Independent Review is complete and the letter and NOA are sent: “Request Information Correct” and “If Not, Why?.” The DHS Representative changes the status from “DHS – Contract Compliance Review” to “Closed.”

Data and Quality Management Process

Step 31	DHS	DHS extracts data from the DHS/BA SharePoint site on a monthly basis as per the document, “Budget Amendment/OTE Monthly Data Requirements.”
Step 32	DHS Quality Team Lead, ICA Quality	The DHS IRIS Quality Team Lead and the ICA Quality Lead review the OTE data and address any performance issues at monthly DHS/ICA Quality Management meetings. Based on this data, DHS may require a Quality Improvement Project. The ICA completes Quality Improvement Projects as per IRIS Policy Manual section 10.4 and in accordance with any further direction by DHS.

4.3 Project SEARCH

Project SEARCH is a nine to 12-month program that provides training and education leading to competitive employment for disabled adults aged 18-25 years old. Project SEARCH is a collaboration that includes a local business, school district(s), and the Division of Vocational Rehabilitation (DVR).

- The business provides a training classroom, a business liaison, and rotational internships for on-the-job training.
- The school provides an instructor.
- DVR works with a local vocational services agency to supply job coaches who support the students on their internships as needed and assist with final job placement. The disability services agency provides follow along services for any eligible student who is hired at the business site or in the community.

The cornerstone of Project SEARCH is total immersion in a large business. Each day, the participant-intern will report to the host business, learn employability skills in the classroom and job skills while participating in three non-paid work rotations during the year. Interns participate in progress meetings to define their career goal and plan necessary steps to achieve that goal. Managers at the internship sites work with the Project SEARCH instructor and job coaches to support the students.

Project SEARCH requires collaboration with the Department of Workforce Development – Division of Vocational Rehabilitation (DWD-DVR) and the Department of Public Instruction (DPI). The IRIS Consultant Agency (ICA):

- Must work collaboratively with these partners during and after the Project SEARCH program.
- Is responsible for identifying Project SEARCH sites that serve adults (18-25 years old) and potential IRIS participants in their service region.

A current list of Project SEARCH sites is maintained by DWD-DVR and is available at:

<https://dwd.wisconsin.gov/dvr/programs/project-search/locations.htm>.

If an IRIS participant is accepted into a Project SEARCH program, IRIS will fund the Project SEARCH program fees if the participant remains in the program.

Identification, Outreach & Assessment

Step #	Responsible Partner(s)	Detail
Step 1	IRIS Consultant (IC), Participant	The IRIS Consultant (IC) identifies a participant as a potential candidate for Project SEARCH or the IRIS Participant (participant) discloses to their consultant his or her interest in applying for Project SEARCH.
Step 2	IC	The IC shares an overview of the Project SEARCH program and assesses the participant's level of interest in applying for the program.
Step 3	IC	The IC determines whether any Project SEARCH sites serve the participant's region and serves the participant's age group (18 – 25 years old).
Step 4	IC	If there are no sites in the participant's region that serve the participant's age group, then the IC documents the participant's interest in Project SEARCH on their plan and enters a case note indicating the need for follow-up in the next calendar year. In these cases, steps 1 through 4 are completed annually between the months of January and February or until the participant is accepted into the program or aged out of Project SEARCH eligibility.
Step 5	Participant, IC	The IC or participant identifies a Project SEARCH site in their area.
Step 6	Participant, IC	The participant engages the site to see whether there is a Project SEARCH open house that the participant could attend to learn more about Project SEARCH and the Project SEARCH site. The IC encourages the participant to attend any site-specific open houses or learning opportunities.
Step 7	Participant	The participant decides to apply for Project SEARCH. The participant communicates his or her intent to apply with his or her IC.

Chapter 5: Participant Choice of Qualified Providers

5.0 Participant Employer and Participant-Hired Worker Setup Packets

Business Rules

1. IRIS participants can choose to receive their caregiver services from agency-employed caregivers, or they may elect to hire and manage their own caregivers (employer authority). Caregivers assist the participant in achieving his/her long-term-care-related goals.
2. When the participant exercises employer authority there is no third-party employer. The participant functions as the sole employer and the hired caregiver is known as a participant-hired worker (PHW).
3. In the case of guardianship, the guardian exercises employer authority on behalf of the participant.
4. The IRIS consultant agency (ICA) is responsible for providing information to the participant regarding the responsibilities of being an employer. See the IRIS Participant Handbook ([P-01008](#)) for information about employer roles and responsibilities.

5. The participant selects a fiscal employer agent (FEA) from a list of those certified in the participant’s geographic region. This choice is communicated to the IRIS consultant (IC) and is documented in the Wisconsin Self-Directed Information Technology System (WISITS).
6. The FEA operates in accordance with Section 3504 of Internal Revenue Code. This means that the FEA performs employer agent tasks, including payroll and other tax-related withholding and reporting on behalf of the employer.
7. Each FEA creates a set of the required forms that must be completed in order for the IRIS participant to serve as employer. These forms and documents comprise the “employer packet.” The forms and documents included are those listed in the FEA Certification Criteria [P-00825](#).
8. Each FEA also creates a set of the required forms that must be completed for each PHW. These forms and documents comprise the “participant-hired worker employee packet” and the forms and documents included are listed in the FEA Certification Criteria [P-00825](#).
9. The IC distributes the FEA employer and employee packets to the IRIS participant.
10. The participant and any prospective PHWs complete the forms and documents included in the employer and employee packets and return them to the IC.
11. The ICA is responsible for reviewing each completed packet to ensure it is complete and accurate. The ICA returns incomplete or inaccurate packets to the participant employer for correction.
12. Once the ICA verifies the packets are complete and accurate, the packets are uploaded into the IRIS WISITS data system and the ICA issues a work request to the FEA.
13. If the FEA receives a packet directly from a participant or a PHW, the information should be scanned and sent to the ICA so it can be reviewed as noted in business rule 11 above.
14. The FEA reviews the packets to ensure they are complete and accurate. Any packets found to be deficient are rejected and returned to the ICA to be completed or corrected. Once the packets are correct and complete, the ICA uploads the packets into WISITS and issues a corresponding work request to the FEA, informing it that the revised packet is now complete. Any remaining outstanding issues are handled by going back to business rule #11 above.
15. The FEA uses the documents and forms in the packets to complete its FEA work with state and federal tax and other governmental or payroll-related authorities.

FEA Set-up of Participant Employer and PHWs

Step #	Responsible Partner(s)	Detail
Step 1	ICA/ Participant	Provides FEA choice soon after referral to IRIS and the participant selects his/her FEA. (See Section 6.3 Choosing a FEA Provider for additional information on choosing an FEA.)



Step 2	FEA	Provides employer and employee packets to each ICA.
Step 3	ICA	Establishes an internal process of to manage and track the distribution and submission of employer and employee packets. This includes establishing the approach and designating staff that will be involved in reviewing the packets for accuracy and completeness and also the process for tracking any packets rejected by the FEA.
Step 4	ICA	Includes the roles and responsibilities of being an employer for those participants who elect to hire and manage their own participant-hired workers. Serves as a resource to participants who have questions about being an employer of their caregivers. The IRIS participant handbook (P-01008) includes information regarding employer roles and responsibilities.
Step 5	ICA	Distributes FEA employer and employee packets to participants based on FEA choice.
Step 6	ICA	Provides assistance to participant employers so they can accurately and completely complete the employer and employee paperwork packets.
Step 7	ICA	Uploads the completed employer and employee packets into WISITS and issues a work request to the FEA.
Step 8	FEA	Scans any packets received directly from participants and forwards the scanned documents to the ICA for review. Stores the scanned document in the WISITS system and issues a work request to the ICA for follow-up. Note that alternate steps may be required until WISITS functionality in this area is complete.
Step 9	FEA	Reviews the packets submitted for completeness and accuracy. Returns incomplete or inaccurate packets to the ICA indicating the reason for the rejection.
Step 10	ICA	Corrects the rejected packets and resubmits into WISITS with a new work request to the FEA.
Step 11	FEA	Once complete and accurate, sends a WISITS notice to the ICA that the packet is acceptable. Uses the documents and information from the employer and employee packets in the course of its work as an FEA and interactions with various tax and other governmental authorities.

Monitoring

Step 12	ICA	Monitors internally to ensure that submitting and correcting employer and employee packets occurs without unnecessary delay.
Step 13	FEA	Monitors internally to ensure that employer and employee packets are reviewed, accepted or rejected, and then processed without unnecessary delay.
Step 14	DHS	Reviews data on employer and employee packet submission and correction.

5.1 See IRIS Policy: [IRIS Background Check, P-00708E \(PDF\)](#)

5.2 Transferring/Changing Fiscal Employer Agent Providers

Business Rules

Refer to addendum: [Fiscal Employer Agent \(FEA\) Enrollments and Transfers \(P-03107\)](#).

Chapter 6: Disenrollments

This chapter has been removed and replaced with the IRIS Eligibility Policy ([P-03515](#)).

Chapter 7: Program Integrity

7.0 Fraud Allegation Review and Assessment (FARA)

Business Rules

1. IRIS Consultant Agencies (ICAs) are responsible for training participants on the principles of identifying and mitigating fraud using the Participant Education Manual ([P-01704](#)). This document and corresponding education must be provided to IRIS participants at the time of orientation, annually, and each time allegations of fraud occur. “Orientation” is defined as the 90 days period following the date of referral.
2. The ICAs are responsible for educating participant-hired workers on appropriate completion of timesheets and educating participants regarding employer responsibilities.
3. The ICAs and Fiscal/Employer Agents (FEAs) are responsible for ensuring all ICA and FEA employees are trained and competent in the areas of fraud identification, prevention, and mitigation, via a Department of Health Services (DHS) developed and/or approved curriculum.
4. The FEAs are responsible for monitoring timesheets and participant-hired worker employee packets for indications of fraud.
5. The FEAs are responsible for implementing and maintaining a fraud monitoring system.
6. The ICAs and FEAs are responsible for collaborating to complete the Fraud Allegation Review and Assessment (FARA) process for participants choosing those respective agencies.
7. The FARA team consists of the DHS FARA representative(s), the ICA FARA representative(s) from the participant’s chosen ICA, and the FEA FARA representative(s) from the participant’s chosen FEA. (The “participant” referenced in this business rule refers to the IRIS participant for whom the allegations of fraud exist.)
8. The FARA team systematically collects information regarding the reported allegation(s), ensures the health and welfare of the participant, determines whether a reasonable person would determine that fraud/waste/abuse is present, and ensures the identified fraud/waste/abuse is mitigated.
9. The FARA team reports cases resulting in substantiated fraud to the Office of the Inspector General (OIG). The OIG utilizes the FARA to determine if there is a “sufficient cause for Department of Justice investigation.” When appropriate, the DHS FARA representative and OIG will work together to prepare the referral of the allegation to the Department of Justice (DOJ).
10. The DOJ maintains investigative authority regarding fraud, evaluates the facts submitted by the FARA team and responds and investigates as per DOJ Policy.
11. The FARA team’s review period from referral to resolution, not including referrals to the DHS, OIG, and/or DOJ, will not exceed 30 days.

12. Any time an individual allegedly commits fraud, also known as “FARA subject,” provides services and/or has access to additional IRIS participants’ funds, the ICA and FEA FARA Team representatives conduct a related review of other transactions to determine the need to open companion cases.
13. The FARA must include the following activities:
- Review of FEA records of the participant and providers;
 - Review of ICA records;
 - Allegation-related interviews of each involved party – FARA subjects should only be interviewed in cases that appear to be unsubstantiated or abuse after an audit of related FEA and ICA records;
 - DHS/Program Integrity SharePoint site documentation of all fact-finding activities;
 - DHS/Program Integrity SharePoint site attachment of relevant documents obtained during the FARA process;
 - Implementation of appropriate mitigation strategies based on identified FARA outcome;
 - A resolution description all fact-finding activities, information collected, FARA determination, and mitigation strategy determination; and
 - Identification, documentation, and mitigation of any concerns regarding participant health and welfare.
14. **Fraud** refers to “any intentional deception made for personal gain or to damage another individual, group, or entity. It includes any act that constitutes fraud under applicable Federal or State law. Examples include, but are not limited to:
- Falsification of provider credentials;
 - Falsification of participant needs;
 - Falsification of participant assets, income, or any information used in determination of eligibility;
 - Intentionally performing or billing services improperly, including false claims, or intentionally denying appropriate services.
- Fraud is **knowingly** and **willfully** executing, or attempting to execute, a scheme or artifice to defraud any health care benefit program or to obtain, by means of false or fraudulent pretenses, representation, or promises, any of the money or property owned by, or under the custody or control of, any health care benefit program (18 U.S.C. 1347).”
15. *Unsubstantiated Fraud* refers to fraud allegations unsupported by the facts collected during the FARA.
16. *Abuse* refers to FARA cases wherein facts supporting the allegations of fraudulent activity were found, but no facts indicate that the FARA subject knowingly and willfully committed the fraudulent activity.
17. *Substantiated Fraud* refers to cases wherein by the facts collected during the FARA supported the fraud allegations *and* facts indicate that the FARA subject knowingly and willfully committed the fraudulent activity.

18. For any type of fraud allegation, the following activities may be completed to mitigate the issues identified during the FARA process, and commensurate with the outcome of the FARA taking into consideration other factors including but not limited to number of previous cases, outcomes of previous cases, and budget utilization patterns.

All types of fraud allegations	Unsubstantiated	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Educate and Monitor • Flagged in Payroll System • Increased Monitoring • Payment Issued
	Abuse	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Amended Level of Support (for future use) • Changed Agency that Provides Service • Changed Personnel Working with Participant • New Timesheet • Payment Modified • Payment Withheld • Reduction in Service • Stop Payment on Issued Funds • Terminated Service
	Substantiated	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Disenrollment – Involuntary • IRIS Exclusion List (for future use) • Mandate Agency • Mandate Support Broker • Recoupment of Funds (DHS Collections) • Reduction in Service • Refer to DHS

- For allegations that were considered unsubstantiated for fraud and were not considered “Abuse,” the FARA team will select mitigation activities from the “Unsubstantiated” section.
- For allegations considered “Abuse,” the FARA team will select mitigation activities from the “Unsubstantiated Fraud” or “Abuse” sections.
- For allegations considered “Substantiated,” the FARA team will select mitigation activities from the “Unsubstantiated,” “Abuse,” or “Substantiated” sections.

19. The DHS has the authority to disenroll participants identified as the FARA subject in substantiated FARA cases due to misappropriation of funds.

20. The DHS *automatically* disenrolls all IRIS participants identified as the FARA subject in FARA cases accepted by the DOJ for investigation due to misappropriation of funds.

21. Participants disenrolled from the IRIS program under the conditions outlined in Business Rule number 20 may re-enroll in the IRIS program following the closure of their DOJ investigation when the determination result is unsubstantiated.

Identifying and Reporting Process

Step #	Responsible Partner(s)	Detail
Step 1	Party who identified fraud, ICA, FEA	The party (e.g., participant, guardian, provider, consultant) identifying the potential fraud notifies the ICA or FEA and reports the allegations within one business day of discovery. The provider agency staff receiving the report transfers the reported information, immediately, to the receiving agency's Fraud Allegation Review and Assessment (FARA) representative.
Step 2	ICA, FEA	The ICA or FEA FARA representative documents the report of potential fraud in the DHS/Program Integrity SharePoint site within one business day of initial fraud notification. The one business day timeframe encompasses the tasks identified in Steps 2-4. The required fields in the DHS/Program Integrity SharePoint site include "Participant's Name," "MCI," "Participant's Contact Information," "County," "IC," "IC Supervisor," "Target Group," "Date Reported," "Reported Fraud Type," "Fraud Allegation," "Estimated Fraud Amount," "FARA Subject," "FARA Subject's Contact Information," "FARA Subject Relationship," "Is the FARA Subject the Guardian?," "Referral Source," "Referral Source Contact Information," "Relationship of Referral Source," "Health/Welfare Concerns," and "Health/Welfare Specific Concern(s)."
Step 3	ICA, FEA	The FARA representative receiving the report co-assigns the FARA representative from the other agency. For example, if the FARA representative from the ICA receives the report of fraud allegations, they co-assign the FARA representative from the FEA. The FARA representative captures the co-assignment in the "Assigned To" field in the DHS/Program Integrity SharePoint site.
Step 4	ICA, FEA	The FARA representatives report all cases, regardless of FARA involvement, to the OIG via the OIG portal: http://www.dhs.wisconsin.gov/fraud/ . The party entering the case into the DHS/Program Integrity SharePoint site also makes the OIG referral via the portal. The FARA representative enters the date of the referral to OIG in the "Date Entered into OIG Portal" field in the DHS/Program Integrity SharePoint site.
Step 5	ICA, FEA	<p>The FARA representatives from the ICA and FEA evaluate the information presented in the referral and the information available in the participant's record and collaboratively determine whether the case is opened for FARA. After all FARA avenues (see Steps 5-8) are exhausted, the case is closed without conducting a FARA if the following conditions exist:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The allegation is extortion, meaning the participant is requiring the employee to give the participant a portion of their check for legitimate hours worked. • Insufficient information existed to continue pursuit of the allegation. • The manner in which the IRIS program operates renders occurrence of the allegation impossible. • The allegation is theft, exclusive of the participant's IRIS funds or materials purchased by the IRIS program for the participant but with focus on the participant's personal property such as medications and personal funds. <p>FARA representatives must determine within three business days of receiving the report whether the case is opened.</p>

Fraud Allegation Review and Assessment Process

Step 6	FEA, ICA	FARA representatives from the ICA and the FEA collaborate to review the participant’s record and attach all pertinent documentation – timesheets, budget reports, employee packets, etc. –to the FARA record in the DHS/Program Integrity SharePoint site. The FEA FARA representative enters a narrative analysis of the FEA documentation in the “Documented Contacts” section. The FARA representative from the ICA reviews the participant’s record and attaches all pertinent documentation – case notes, plans, etc. – to the FARA record in the DHS/Program Integrity SharePoint site. The ICA FARA representative enters a narrative analysis of the documentation in the “Documented Contacts” section.
Step 7	ICA, FEA	The FARA representatives from the ICA and the FEA obtain information from all known individuals with knowledge regarding the fraud allegation and enter a detailed account of each contact in the “Documented Contacts” section of the fraud allegation review and assessment record in the DHS/Program Integrity SharePoint site. All documented contacts must include names; the role of the contacted individual; date of the contact; information obtained; and any action items resulting from the contact. FARA representatives interview FARA subjects when the information collected through interviews with other involved parties and completion of the activities in Step 6 indicate the outcome will likely be “Fraud Unsubstantiated” or “Abuse.” The FARA representative conducting the interview with the FARA subject should stop the interview immediately if the FARA subject provides information indicative of their intent to commit fraud.
Step 8	ICA	Upon receiving a report of health and safety issues as part of the FARA allegations, the FARA representative from the ICA ensures the participant’s IRIS Consultant addresses these issues during the FARA process to ensure the immediate and ongoing health and welfare of the participant is secured. This information is documented in the DHS/Program Integrity SharePoint in fields: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • “Health and Welfare Concerns” • “Health and Welfare Specific Concern(s)” • “Health and Welfare Referral” • “Critical Incident Report”

Mitigation of Fraud/Abuse

Step 9	ICA, FEA	When FARA cases do not meet the criteria for referral to DHS as defined in Step 14, the FARA Team determines whether the allegation is: substantiated (facts indicative of fraudulent activity and intent are BOTH present); abuse (facts indicative of fraudulent activity are present, but facts indicative of intent are NOT present); unsubstantiated (no facts indicative of fraudulent activity are present), or insufficient information exists to make a determination. The FARA representatives denote the FARA outcome in the “FARA Outcome” field the DHS/Program Integrity SharePoint site.
Step 10	ICA, FEA	The FARA Team identifies steps to prevent the occurrence of subsequent fraudulent activity and documents the mitigation activities in the following sections of the DHS/Program Integrity SharePoint site: “Mitigation Strategies,” and “Resolution.” The “Resolution” section must include a detailed FARA summary including a synopsis of all information-gathering activities, the outcome, and cause for the determination of the FARA, and the mitigation strategies including cause for recommendation.

Step 11	ICA, FEA	The FARA Team communicates the next steps to the appropriate ICA and/or FEA staff to execute the identified mitigation strategies. The FARA Team monitors implemented mitigation activities to ensure the steps outlined in the resolution section in the DHS/Program Integrity SharePoint site are completed.
Step 12	ICA, FEA	The FARA team includes the mitigation strategies in the “Resolution” field in the DHS/Program Integrity SharePoint site. Upon completion and implementation of the mitigation strategies, the FARA team enters a statement in the “Resolution” field documenting the date of completion and changes the status to “DHS – Review.” The FARA team assigns the case to the DHS FARA representative to trigger a review of the FARA case in the DHS/Program Integrity SharePoint site.
Step 13	ICA, FEA	FARA representatives from the ICA and FEA complete all information-gathering activities detailed in Steps 6-8 and the decision-making and mitigation steps detailed in Step 9-12 within 30 days.

Referral to the Department of Health Services

Step 14	ICA, FEA	<p>A DHS referral is made via the DHS/Program Integrity SharePoint site in cases where the FARA meets the following criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • FARA Team determines a referral to OIG is appropriate • FARA Team determines a referral to the DOJ is appropriate • FARA Team recommends the following actions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Amended Level of Support (for future use) ○ Involuntary Disenrollment ○ Exclusion List (for future use) ○ Mandated Agency ○ Mandated Broker ○ Recoupment of Funds ○ Reduction in Services ○ Referral to Law Enforcement ○ Termination of Service • FARA Team is unable to make a determination • FARA Team is unable to make a mitigation recommendation <p>(The FARA Team assigns the case to the DHS FARA Team representative, enters the date of DHS referral, and changes the status to “Open – DHS” in the DHS/Program Integrity SharePoint site.)</p>
Step 15	DHS	DHS serves as the decision-making authority regarding referrals to OIG or DOJ for investigation and as the approving authority regarding mitigation strategies specified in Step 14 as proposed by the FARA Team. DHS leads problem solving in complex cases.
Step 16	DHS	Upon notification of the assignment of the FARA case, the DHS FARA representative reviews the FARA case in advance of the next scheduled Program Integrity meeting (see Step 39). If the case requires immediate action, the DHS FARA representative schedules a separate meeting to assist the FARA Team in resolving the issue.

Step 17	DHS, ICA, FEA	During the Program Integrity meeting, DHS advises the FARA Team on issue resolution. The FARA Team responds to ensure appropriate referrals occur and the mitigation strategies are implemented. The DHS FARA representative enters a summary of the conversation and stated directives in the DHS/Program Integrity SharePoint record.
Step 18	ICA	Upon completion of the FARA and mitigation strategies, the FARA team changes the status in the DHS/Program Integrity SharePoint site to “DHS – Review” to trigger the DHS FARA representative to review the FARA record. In situations where the FARA is not completed within 30 days, the FARA team provides an explanation using the fields, “If Yes, why?” and “Explanation” in the DHS/Program Integrity SharePoint site. The DHS FARA representative rejects/approves the explanation using the fields, “Reason Accepted by DHS” and “If No, Why?” Generally speaking, DHS accepts explanations that are outside of the FARA team’s control (e.g., participant will not make themselves available) while explanations describing the ICA’s or FEA’s inability to manage within the prescribed process are not accepted.

Referral to the Office of the Inspector General/Department of Justice

Step 19	DHS	The DHS FARA representative authorizes the OIG referral, which assists in referring the case to the DOJ, when the following criteria are met: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Detailed facts exist, indicative that the allegation of fraud has occurred; AND • Facts exist indicative of intent to defraud the program.
Step 20	DHS	The DHS FARA representative conducts a final review of the FARA record in the DHS/Program Integrity SharePoint site to ensure that all required information is present.
Step 21	DHS, OIG	Upon the DHS FARA representative approval of an OIG/DOJ referral, the DHS FARA representative makes the appropriate contacts within OIG to have the FARA record screened for DOJ referral. The DHS FARA representative changes the status in the DHS/Program Integrity SharePoint site to “Open – OIG.”
Step 22	OIG	OIG conducts a review of the FARA record and makes a determination regarding referral activity to DOJ or recommends another course of action. OIG’s determination includes whether the information collected during the FARA presents a “sufficient cause for DOJ investigation.” (See OIG policy.)
Step 23	DHS	The DHS FARA representative enters OIG’s recommendations in the DHS/Program Integrity SharePoint site in the following fields: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • “Documented Contacts” • “Mitigation Strategies” and/or • “Resolution”
Step 24	DHS	The DHS FARA representative monitors the FARA records referred to OIG and serves as the liaison between the FARA process and OIG.
Step 25	DHS	The DHS FARA representative changes the status in the DHS/Program Integrity SharePoint site to “closed” after OIG provides a recommendation to DHS. Only DHS can change the status in the DHS/Program Integrity SharePoint site to “Closed.”

Referral to Department of Justice

Step 26	DHS/OIG	The DHS FARA representative forwards all appropriate cases to the DOJ as guided by the OIG after ensuring the packet of information is sufficient to meet the requirements of DOJ.
---------	---------	--

Step 27	DHS	The DHS FARA representative changes the status in the DHS/Program Integrity SharePoint site to “Open – DOJ.”
Step 28	DHS	The DHS FARA representative monitors the FARA record(s) referred to DOJ and serves as the liaison between the FARA process and DOJ.
Step 29	DHS	The DHS FARA representative changes the status in the DHS/Program Integrity SharePoint site to “closed” after DOJ provides a recommendation to DHS. Only DHS can change the status in the DHS/Program Integrity SharePoint site to “closed.”

Pursuing Recoupment

Step 30	ICA	The ICA FARA representative prepares a single page summary of the IRIS funds received by the individual through fraudulent means as evidenced by the FARA’s fact-finding activities and subsequent documentation thereof using information from the FARA case in the DHS/Program Integrity SharePoint site. This document is the FARA Summary for Recoupment.
Step 31	ICA	The ICA FARA representative completes referral form (F-80921) and attaches the Summary for Recoupment.
Step 32	ICA	The ICA FARA representative forwards the completed F-80921 and the FARA Summary for Recoupment to the DHS FARA representative by attaching the documents into the FARA record in the DHS/Program Integrity SharePoint site and assigning the case to the DHS FARA representative.
Step 33	DHS	The DHS FARA representative reviews and approves the F-80921 and FARA Summary for Recoupment attached in the DHS Program Integrity SharePoint site.
Step 34	DHS	The DHS FARA representative forwards the approved Form F-80921 to DHS/DES/BFS for processing and changes the status to “Closed” in the DHS Program Integrity SharePoint site.
Step 35	DHS/DES/ BFS	DHS/Division of Enterprise Services (DES)/Bureau of Fiscal Services (BFS) provides individuals written notice that they have up to 90 days to pay the delinquency in full or they may be referred to Department of Revenue (DOR) Collections.
Step 36	DOR	DOR adds a collection fee to the delinquency and attempts to collect the debt.

Monitoring

Step 37	DHS	The DHS FARA representative reviews and approves all fraud allegation review and assessment records in the DHS/Program Integrity SharePoint site in accordance with the document, “Criteria for Closing a Fraud Allegation Review and Assessment (FARA).” The DHS FARA representative captures this information in the “DHS Approval” and “Date FARA Closed by DHS” fields in the DHS/Program Integrity SharePoint site.
Step 38	DHS	The DHS FARA representative utilizes the “DHS Requested Follow Up” and “Follow Up Due Date” fields to communicate requests for additional information/action to the appropriate agency and assigns either the FEA FARA representative or ICA FARA representative to complete the follow up. The DHS FARA representative changes the status back to “Open – ICA” or “Open – FEA” depending on which agency needs to complete the work.

Step 39	ICA, FEA	The assigned FEA FARA representative or ICA FARA representative provides a narrative of the mitigation activities to respond to the DHS FARA representative’s request for additional action/information in the “DHS Requested Follow Up” section. The FARA team has five business days to respond to all requests for follow up actions/information and the DHS FARA representative prescribes a due date in the “Follow Up Due Date” field in the DHS/Program Integrity SharePoint site. The FARA team changes the status to “DHS-Review.”
Step 40	DHS	Upon DHS FARA representative approval of a FARA case, the DHS FARA representative completes the DHS/Program Integrity SharePoint site fields, “DHS Approval” and “Date FARA Closed by DHS.” The DHS FARA representative changes the status to “Closed” in the DHS/Program Integrity SharePoint site. Only the DHS FARA representative can change the status to “Closed.”
Step 41	DHS	The DHS FARA representative reviews the monthly data, quarterly reports, and annual reports for trends and systems issues.
Step 42	DHS, ICA, FEA	At the request, and under the instruction of the DHS FARA representative, the ICAs and FEAs engage in quality improvement projects related to the data obtained via the SharePoint system. The DHS FARA representative ensures the ICA and FEA providers complete all quality improvement projects as instructed by DHS.

Data/Narrative Reporting

Step 43	ICA	On a monthly basis, the DHS FARA representative pulls data according to the “Program Integrity Monthly Data Requirements” document. DHS makes this data available to FARA team members by the 15 th of the following month on the DHS/Program Integrity SharePoint site.
Step 44	ICA, FEA, DHS	The FARA Team meets monthly to review active cases requiring DHS involvement, review the data, and discuss any process issues.
Step 45	ICA	Each ICA prepares reports, on a quarterly basis, as instructed in the “Program Integrity Quarterly Narrative Report Outline.”
Step 46	ICA	Each ICA prepares reports, on an annual basis, as instructed in the “Program Integrity Annual Narrative Report Outline.”
Step 47	DHS	The DHS FARA representative reviews and approves all quarterly and annual narrative reports.
Step 48	DHS	The DHS FARA representative prepares annual reports providing comparative data.

7.1 Conflict of Interest – Participant

Business Rules

1. IRIS Consultant Agencies (ICAs) maintain responsibility to train participants and staff on the identification and resolution of conflict of interest utilizing the Participant Education Manual ([P-01704](#)). This document and corresponding education must be provided to IRIS participants each time a conflict of interest is identified, at the time of orientation, and annually. The Department of Health Services (DHS) defines “orientation” as the 90-day period following the date of referral.

2. ICAs maintain responsibility for identifying, resolving, and monitoring conflicts of interest.
3. All conflicts of interest must be resolved, or sufficiently addressed, to ensure compliance with IRIS policy.
4. ICAs maintain responsibility to document all conflicts of interest.
5. ICAs maintain responsibility for facilitating the resolution of conflicts of interest.
6. Legal representatives may provide up to 40 hours of any combination of Supportive Home Care (SHC), Daily Living Skills, and IRIS Self-Directed Personal Care (IRIS SDPC), or receive up to 75 percent of the IRIS participant’s budget in earnings (whichever comes first) without it being considered a conflict of interest.
7. Support brokers may not provide any other paid support or service to the participant.
8. Legal representatives may only provide IRIS SDPC, Respite, Daily Living Skills Training, Supported Employment, Nursing Services, 1-2 Bed Adult Family Home, Customized Goods and Services, Specialized Transportation, Specialized Transportation 2, and Supportive Home Care per the approved 1915(c) Medicaid Home and Community-Based Services Waiver and IRIS Service Definition and Code Manual.
9. IRIS Consultants (ICs), ICA Staff, and fiscal employer agent (FEA) Staff may not receive IRIS funds for providing Medicaid Waiver services to the IRIS participants supported as an IC, ICA Staff, or FEA Staff. For example, an IC may not serve as a consultant and an SHC worker for the same participant. However, an IC may serve as a SHC worker for an IRIS participant for whom they do not also provide consulting services.
10. ICs, ICA Staff, and FEA Staff may not benefit directly or indirectly, financially, or otherwise, from the service providers chosen by the IRIS Participant they support.

Identification and Reporting of a Conflict of Interest

Step #	Responsible Partner(s)	Detail
Step 1	IC, ICA, DHS, FEA, Participant, Other	The individual discovering the conflict of interest notifies the IC of the conflict of interest.
Step 2	IC	The IRIS Consultant documents the identification of the conflict of interest using the Conflict of Interest – Participant form (F-01310A).

Investigation Process

Step 3	IC	The IC explains to the IRIS participant and/or legal representative that a conflict of interest exists and identifies the specific conflict of interest.
Step 4	IC, Participant, Legal Rep.	The IC reviews the Participant Education Manual (P-01704) with the IRIS participant and/or legal representative.
Step 5	IC, Participant, Legal Rep.	The IC collects information regarding the conflict of interest from the IRIS participant and/or legal representative.

Resolution Process

Step 6	IC	The IC and the IRIS participant and/or legal representative collaborate to identify at least two viable options of resolution strategies to resolve the conflict of interest. All presented strategies must comply with the IRIS Policy Manual.
Step 7	Participant, Legal Rep.	The IRIS participant and/or legal representative choose one or more of the identified resolution strategies. The IC and/or ICA will determine if the proposed resolution strategies sufficiently resolve the conflict of interest and remain in compliance with IRIS policy.
Step 8	IC, Participant, Legal Rep.	The IC, IRIS participant, and/or legal representative collaborate to strategize and develop an implementation and monitoring plan including timeframes.
Step 9	IC	The IC completes the “resolution strategies,” “implementation plans,” and “monitoring fields” on the Conflict of Interest – Participant (F-01310A) form.

Implementation Process

Step 10	IC, Participant, Legal Rep.	The IC, IRIS participant, and/or legal representative collaborate to implement the resolution strategies and timeframes.
Step 11	IC	The IC adheres to the monitoring plan and schedule and continues to document all information relative to the conflict of interest resolution in the data system under the contact type, “Conflict of Interest.”

Monitoring

Step 12	DHS	DHS monitors the conflict of interest process through the record review process.
Step 13	ICA	The ICA provides the Department with quarterly data regarding participant conflict of interest on the following elements: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Number of conflicts of interest identified; • Type of conflicts of interest; • Action(s) taken; and • Number/Percent resolved
Step 14	DHS, ICA	DHS and the ICA review the data during monthly quality management meetings.
Step 15	DHS, ICA, FEA	At the request and instruction of DHS, and under the instruction of DHS, the ICA engages in quality improvement projects related to the data collected. DHS ensures the ICA and FEA providers complete all quality improvement projects as instructed.

7.2 Conflict of Interest – Provider

Business Rules

1. IRIS Consultants (ICs), IRIS Consultant Agency (ICA) Staff, and fiscal employer agent (FEA) Staff may not benefit directly, or indirectly, financially, or otherwise, from the service providers chosen by the IRIS Participants they support.

2. ICA and FEA providers must ensure all employees receive training to include principals regarding the identification of potential conflicts of interest and the risk mitigation protocol for any identified conflicts of interest.
3. ICA and FEA providers must maintain signed documentation of each employee's receipt, and understanding of, the DHS' conflict of interest policy.
4. ICAs and FEAs must disclose if an employee or a member of an employee's immediate family owns or controls a 10 percent interest or greater or receives payment of more than \$3,000 within a 12-month period from any provider of Wisconsin Medicaid services in any Wisconsin Medicaid program.
5. All employees of all ICAs and all FEAs must identify whether a conflict of interest exists using the Conflict of Interest Disclosure – Provider form ([F-01310](#)). Annual employee completion of this form is required.
6. The Conflict of Interest Disclosure form includes the following information:
 - a. The employee's name and contact information;
 - b. The employee's position within the ICA or FEA;
 - c. The ICA or FEA provider's information;
 - d. The Wisconsin Medicaid provider's information;
 - e. The percentage of control and/or payment received within a 12- month period; and,
 - f. The mitigation strategies in place to prevent a conflict of interest.
7. All conflicts of interest must be resolved, or sufficiently addressed, to ensure compliance with IRIS policy.
8. The Department of Health Services (DHS) reviews the Conflict of Interest Disclosure form, applies it against the IRIS Conflict of Interest policy, and makes a determination regarding whether the employee sufficiently mitigated any documented conflict of interest.
9. The DHS issues statements to ICA and FEA providers communicating any insufficient mitigation of employee conflicts of interest. The ICA and FEA providers must address the actions required in the form of a Conditional Certification Improvement Plan (CCIP) as described in section [10.4B.1, Quality Management Plans](#).
10. ICs, ICA Staff, and FEA Staff may not receive IRIS funds for providing Medicaid Waiver services to the IRIS participants they support in their role as an IC, ICA Staff, or FEA Staff. For example, an IC may not serve as a consultant and a Supportive Home Care (SHC) worker for the same participant. However, an IC may serve as an SHC worker for an IRIS participant for whom the IC does not also provide consulting services.
11. ICAs may not provide any other direct services to participants with an approved Individual Service and Support Plan (ISSP)/budget and actively receiving services in the IRIS program. For example, ICAs cannot also operate a Supportive Home Care (SHC) agency.

- ICAs may not employ, as an IC or Long Term Care Functional Screener, any immediate family member or guardian of a participant in the IRIS program to directly serve the participant.

Completion of the Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form

Step #	Responsible Partner(s)	Detail
Step 1	ICA employees, FEA employees	All employees of ICA and FEA providers complete the Conflict of Interest Disclosure – Provider form (F-01310) at the time of hire and annually, thereafter.

Conflict of Interest Mitigation Process

Step 2	ICA, FEA	The ICAs and FEAs assist employees with identifying a mitigation strategy that complies with all IRIS policies and requirements.
Step 3	DHS	DHS reviews and approves all Conflict of Interest Disclosure forms.
Step 4	ICA, FEA	The ICAs and FEAs ensure employee compliance with specified mitigation strategies.

Monitoring

Step 5	ICA, FEA	The ICAs and FEAs monitor the employee’s compliance with specified mitigation strategies as described in each individual agency’s policy manual.
Step 6	ICA, FEA	The ICAs and FEAs provide DHS with quarterly data regarding participant conflict of interest regarding the following elements: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Number of conflicts of interest identified; Types of conflicts of interest; Action(s) taken; and, Number/Percent resolved.
Step 7	DHS, ICA, FEA	DHS reviews the data with ICAs and FEAs during monthly quality management meetings.
Step 8	DHS, ICA, FEA	At the request, and under the instruction of DHS, the ICAs engage in quality improvement projects related to the data collected. DHS ensures the ICA and FEA providers complete all quality improvement projects as instructed.

7.3 IRIS Self-Directed Personal Care Disclosure Statement

Business Rules

- The Management Group (TMG) operates two, separate lines of business providing services to IRIS participants: an IRIS Consultant Agency (ICA) and the IRIS Self-Directed Personal Care (SDPC) Oversight Agency. These work instructions apply only to the TMG-ICA.
- The Department of Health Services (DHS) uses the IRIS Self-Directed Personal Care (SDPC) Disclosure Statement ([F-01258](#)) as one method of mitigating actual, or perceived, conflict of interest between TMG’s separate lines of business.
- DHS defines “Personal care-related discussions” as any discussion wherein the participant requests information about personal care; the participant inquires about eligibility for personal care; the participant requests

clarification of the difference between IRIS SDPC and Medical Assistance Personal Care (MAPC); and other related conversations.

Form Implementation

Step #	Responsible Partner(s)	Detail
Step 1	TMG-ICA	The TMG’s ICA must review the IRIS SDPC Disclosure Statement with participants receiving ICA services from TMG, at the onset of personal care-related discussions and annually, thereafter, for TMG-ICA participants receiving IRIS SDPC services.
Step 2	IRIS Consultant (IC)	The IC attaches the signed IRIS SDPC Disclosure Statement to the participant’s record, in the IT system.

Monitoring

Step 3	DHS	DHS reviews participant records to ensure the records contain a current, signed IRIS SDPC Disclosure Statement. “Current” is defined as being signed by the participant within the last 365 calendar days.
Step 4	DHS	DHS communicates negative findings including instructions for remediation to the TMG-ICA.
Step 5	IC	The IC reviews the IRIS SDPC Disclosure Statement with the participant, obtains the participant’s signature, and attaches the form to the participant’s record.
Step 6	TMG-ICA	The TMG-ICA provides DHS with a response to the requested remediation.
Step 7	DHS	DHS confirms the presence of a current, signed IRIS SDPC Disclosure Statement in the participant’s record and closes the remediation request.
Step 8	DHS, IC, TMG-ICA	Steps 6 and 7 recur until DHS is satisfied with the TMG-ICA’s remediation response and closes the remediation request.
Step 9	DHS TMG-ICA	Upon the identification of a system issue, DHS can require that TMG-ICA complete a quality improvement plan to address the system issue. The TMG-ICA completes quality improvement plans following the steps in section 10.4B.1, Quality Management Plans .

7.4 Record Review Process

Business Rules

1. The approved 1915 (c) Home and Community-Based Waiver contains performance measures that the Department of Health Services (DHS) reports to the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) annually. The data source for many of these performance measures is the individual participant record review.
2. DHS conducts participant record reviews each quarter from a sample containing participants from all three (3) target groups – physical disabilities, developmental disabilities, and frail elders.
3. DHS reviews the participant records using a pre-defined tool, the IRIS Record Review Tool ([F-01496](#)) with pre-defined scoring criteria, which can be found in the IRIS Record Review Instructions ([P-01014](#)).

4. DHS uses the DHS IRIS Record Review SharePoint site to document the record review findings and facilitate remediation of negative findings.
5. CMS requires remediation of all individual negative findings; therefore, IRIS consultant agencies (ICAs) must complete remediation activities as prescribed by DHS in the DHS IRIS Record Review SharePoint site.
6. The ICA must complete remediation of all negative findings in each calendar year by March 1 of the following calendar year. For example, for calendar year 2015, all negative findings must be remediated by March 1, 2016.
7. The DHS IRIS Quality Management Record Review Lead sends quarterly results to the ICA. DHS addresses identified trends and problems with the ICAs during the monthly quality management meetings. ICAs address systemic issues through the Quality Management Plan process specified in section [10.4B.1, Quality Management Plans](#).
8. DHS writes both quarterly and annual reports summarizing the results of the record review and comparing performance between ICAs.
9. The DHS IRIS Quality Management Team updates the IRIS Record Review Tool ([F-01496](#)) and IRIS Record Review Instructions ([P-01014](#)) annually and provides the ICAs with training.
10. The ICAs must complete all activities in the IRIS Record Review SharePoint site according to the IRIS Record Review SharePoint Instructions ([P-00706G](#)).
11. The ICAs are not permitted to change responses to any fields in the DHS IRIS Record Review SharePoint site that are the responsibility of DHS. The “Status” and “Remediation Discussion” fields are shared between DHS and the ICAs.
12. Each ICA has its own DHS IRIS Record Review SharePoint site to ensure compliance with the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA)

Record Review Sample

Step #	Responsible Partner(s)	Detail
Step 1	DHS	DHS generates the record review sample for each quarter consisting of participants from all three target groups who have been in the IRIS program for at least one year.
Step 2	DHS	DHS uploads the record review sample to the DHS IRIS Record Review SharePoint site.

Record Review Process

Step 3	DHS	DHS uses all available data systems, including the centralized data system and DHS SharePoint sites to evaluate the information against the criteria in the IRIS Record Review Instructions (P-01014).
Step 4	DHS	DHS records all record review findings on the IRIS Record Review Tool (F-01496) and in the participant’s record in the DHS IRIS Record Review SharePoint site.

Step 5	DHS	In the DHS IRIS Record Review SharePoint site, DHS indicates the required remediation activities for each negative finding following the steps outlined in the IRIS Record Review SharePoint Instructions (P-00706G).
Step 6	DHS	DHS changes the status in the DHS IRIS Record Review SharePoint site to “Remediation – ICA” to indicate that the ICA should begin remediation.

Remediation Process

Step 7	IC, Participant	The IRIS Consultant (IC) works with the participant to complete the required remediation activities prescribed by DHS. The IC enters the action taken in the “Remediation Action Taken” field(s) and the date of the case note in the “Date of Case Note (Remediation)” field(s).
Step 8	IC	If the IC has questions about a negative finding or the prescribed remediation, the IC requests clarification from DHS using the “Remediation Discussion” field in the DHS IRIS Record Review SharePoint site. The IC changes the status to “ICA Requesting Clarification” to alert DHS to respond to the request for clarification.
Step 9	DHS	DHS responds to requests for clarification in the “Remediation Discussion” field in the DHS IRIS Record Review SharePoint site. DHS changes the status to “Clarification Provided” to alert the IC that the requested clarification has been provided.
Step 10	IC	The IC changes the status to “Remediation Validation – DHS” when all remediation activities have been completed.

Remediation Validation

Step 11	DHS	DHS confirms that the prescribed remediation activities were completed and there is acceptable evidence in the participant’s record. When no further action is required, DHS indicates “Yes” in the “DHS Approval” field and enters the date into the “Date Remediation Approved” field.
Step 12	DHS	In cases where the IC did not provide acceptable evidence that remediation was completed, DHS indicates “No” in the “DHS Approval” field and changes the status to “Remediation Re-review – ICA.”
Step 13	DHS, IC, Participant	Steps 7-12 repeat until the IC has provided sufficient evidence that all negative findings for the participant have been remediated.

DHS IRIS Record Review SharePoint Case Closure

Step 14	DHS	When each negative finding has been remediated for the participant, DHS completes the “Remediation Completed” field and the “Date Review Closed” field.
Step 15	DHS	DHS changes the status to “Closed.”

Data Collection, Reporting, and Monitoring

Step 16	DHS	The DHS IRIS Record Review SharePoint site aggregates the data for each quarter and generates graphs that indicate the findings for each indicator and also generate tables that demonstrate the reasons for negative findings for each indicator.
---------	-----	--

Step 17	DHS	DHS completes quarterly and annual narrative reports providing an explanation of the data from the DHS IRIS Record Review SharePoint site and a comparison between ICA providers when there are multiple ICA providers.
Step 18	DHS, ICA	DHS reviews the quarterly and annual reports with the ICA and systemic issues are addressed through the Quality Management Plan templates as identified in section 10.4B.1. Quality Management Plans .

7.5 Quality Management Plans

Business Rules

1. Each IRIS Consultant Agency (ICA) and fiscal employer agent (FEA) must complete a Quality Management (QM) Tracking Mechanism on an annual basis.
2. ICAs and FEAs will complete a corresponding QM Plan Template for each strategy identified on the QM Tracking Mechanism.
3. ICAs and FEAs update the QM Tracking Mechanism and subsequent QM Plan Templates at a minimum, on an annual basis.
4. The Department of Health Services (DHS) reviews and approves all QM Tracking Mechanisms and corresponding QM Plan Templates.
5. All ICAs and FEAs receiving a conditional certification are required to complete specific Conditional Certification Improvement Plans (CCIPs). All CCIPs are listed on the QM Tracking Mechanism and will require the related QM Plan Template. The CCIPs are identified on QM Plan Templates and QM Tracking Mechanisms by the modifier “CCIP.”

Quality Management Plan Development

Step #	Responsible Partner(s)	Detail
Step 1	DHS	DHS provides ICAs and FEAs with a list of required subjects (CMS Performance Measures and Contract Obligations) to be recorded on the QM Tracking Mechanism.
Step 2	ICA/FEA	The ICAs and FEAs develop an agency QM Tracking Mechanism by adding other quality management strategies the agency plans to implement.
Step 3	DHS	The DHS IRIS Quality Lead or designee will review and approve, or modify, the Quality Management Tracking Mechanism.
Step 4	ICA/FEA	The ICAs and FEAs complete the QM Plan Template for each strategy identified on the QM Tracking Mechanism.
Step 5	DHS	The DHS IRIS Quality Lead or designee reviews and approves, or modifies, the QM Plan Templates and indicates approval by signing the signature line on the QM Plan Template.

Monitoring Process

Step 6	ICA/FEA	The ICAs and FEAs provide reports as identified in the deliverables section of the QM Plan Templates by the 15 th of the following month for monthly reports. Quarterly reports are due on April 15, July 15, October 15, and January 15. All reports are submitted to the agency's assigned Quality Team Representative and Contract Specialist at DHS. Other dates/reporting schedules may be permitted as specified in Department-approved QM Plan Templates.
Step 7	ICA/FSA	The ICAs and FEAs update the QM Tracking Mechanism each quarter. These updates are due to the agency's assigned Quality Team Representative and Contract Specialist at DHS on April 15, July 15, October 15, and January 15. Other dates are permitted as specified in Department-approved QM Plan Templates.
Step 8	DHS/ICA/ FSA	DHS conducts quarterly meetings with the contracted agencies to review progress on the agency's QM strategies. These meetings occur during the second half of the months of April, July, October, and January.

Modifying/Adding/Discontinuing Quality Management Strategies

Step 9	ICA/FSA	If the ICAs or FEAs change/add/discontinue a QM strategy from a QM Tracking Mechanism, the ICA or FEA will submit a QM Plan Template making the request to change/add/discontinue the QM strategy. ICAs and FEAs request discontinuation of a strategy through completing the "Strategy Discontinuation" portion of the QM Plan Template.
Step 10	DHS	The DHS IRIS Quality Lead or designee reviews and approves, or modifies, the QM Plan Templates and indicates approval by signing the signature line.
Step 11	DHS	DHS may mandate the addition to, or modification of, the QM Plan Template and/or QM Tracking Mechanism at any time due to performance, or as part of a Conditional Certification Improvement Plan (CCIP). The ICAs or FEAs, and DHS then complete Steps 2-5 of this process to initiate the Quality Management/CCIP strategy.

Chapter 8: Appeals and Grievances

8.0 Appeals

Business Rules

1. IRIS Consultant Agencies (ICAs) are responsible for training participants on the appeals process including Notices of Action (NOA) using the IRIS Participant Education Manual ([P-01704](#)). This document and corresponding education must be provided to IRIS participants at the time of orientation and annually thereafter. ICAs are responsible for attaching the signed forms to each participant's record.
2. Denials, limits, reductions, and terminations in IRIS services require the participant's IRIS Consultant Agency to send an NOA to the participant to provide notice of the action taken and an explanation of the decision.
3. Notices of Action include information about the Division of Hearings and Appeals (DHA) appeals process including information about requesting a continuation of services pending an appeal outcome.

4. IRIS participants must request an appeal within 45 days of the date on the NOA by sending written notification to DHA as indicated in the appeals information mailed with the NOA.
5. MetaStar conducts a “concurrent review,” as contracted by DHS, for each participant for whom DHA accepts a request for appeal. Concurrent reviews consist of MetaStar reviewing the participant’s paperwork and conferring with the participant’s ICA and/or the Department of Health Services (DHS) to resolve the issue and eliminate the need for an appeal. Participants may opt out of this service.
6. IRIS participants also have the option of working directly with their ICA, DHS, Disability Rights Wisconsin (DRW) (ombudsman for participants ages 18-59), or the Board on Aging and Long-Term Care (ombudsman for participants age 60 and above) to resolve the issue in advance of a DHA hearing.
7. Negotiations with ICAs, DRW, and/or DHS may occur concurrently with MetaStar concurrent reviews.
8. IRIS Consultant Agencies have assigned DHS/NOA SharePoint sites and for which DHS, ICAs, and MetaStar engage in tracking NOAs/appeals and exchanging information regarding the appeals process.

Notice of Action

Step #	Responsible Partner(s)	Detail
Step 1	ICA, DHS	ICAs complete the NOA any time there is a denial (F-01204A), or termination (F-01204D) of IRIS services. DHS completes the NOA in situations in which a denial of a requested good or service comes as a result of a Budget Amendment or One-Time Expense (BA/OTE) request.
Step 2	ICA	ICAs mail all NOAs, including those prepared by DHS, which include information regarding the process to file an appeal. The NOA is sent to the participant within ten (10) business days of the decision.
Step 3	ICA	ICAs enter the NOA information into the DHS/NOA SharePoint site. Required fields include: “Status,” “Participant’s Name,” “MCI,” (Master Client Index) “County,” “Target Group,” “IRIS Consultant,” “Area Lead,” “Date NOA Sent,” “Type of NOA Sent,” “Source of NOA”, “BA/OTE Reference #,” “Subject of NOA,” “Specific Good/Service,” “NOA Detail,” “Reason(s) for NOA,” and “NOA Issued By.” DHS is responsible for completing these fields for all NOAs pertaining to BA/OTE.
Step 4	Participant	Participants determine whether they will file an appeal and are responsible to submit the required paperwork within 45 days to the DHA. Participants also determine whether to request a continuation of service(s) at this time.

Notification of Appeal

Step 5	DHA	DHA sends notification to the DHS of their acceptance of the participant’s request for appeal.
Step 6	DHS	DHS forwards the DHA notifications to the ICA and to MetaStar.
Step 7	ICA	ICAs enter the hearing information into the DHS/NOA SharePoint Site completing the following fields: “Appeal Received” and “Date Appeal Filed.”

Concurrent Review

Step 8	MetaStar	MetaStar initiates the concurrent review process by checking the “Appeal Received/Concurrent Review” option in the “Status” field and completing the “Date Concurrent Review Opened” field in the DHS/NOA SharePoint site. MetaStar will assign a staff person for review.
Step 9	MetaStar	MetaStar notifies the participant, in writing, of his/her option to participate in the concurrent review process. MetaStar attaches concurrent review notification letters to the NOA record in the DHS/NOA SharePoint site.
Step 10	Participant	The IRIS participant determines whether he/she will participate in the concurrent review process.
Step 11	MetaStar, Participant	MetaStar collects information from the participant pertinent to the appeal for participants that elect to have the concurrent review.
Step 12	MetaStar, ICA, DHS	MetaStar engages with the participant’s ICA and when appropriate, DHS, in an effort to reach a mutually acceptable agreement with the participant. All parties document all contacts in the “Communication Log” in the DHS/NOA SharePoint site.
Step 13	MetaStar	Upon completion of the concurrent review, MetaStar completes the “Concurrent Review Outcome” and “Concurrent Review Closed” fields in the DHS/NOA SharePoint site. MetaStar sends a closure letter to the participant and attaches the letter in the DHS/NOA SharePoint site. In cases for which the appeal was resolved during the concurrent review, MetaStar shares information with the IRIS participant regarding the process to notify DHA of his/her intent to withdraw the appeal.
Step 14	Participant	If MetaStar was able to assist the IRIS participant in resolving his/her case without a hearing, then the IRIS participant submits the “Voluntary Withdrawal” form to withdraw his/her request for hearing to DHA.
Step 15	DHA, DHS	DHA sends DHS formal notification of a dismissal reflecting the IRIS participant’s withdrawal of his/her request for a hearing. DHS forwards the information to the participant’s ICA and MetaStar.
Step 16	ICA	The ICA enters “Withdrawn” as the status in the DHS/NOA SharePoint site, completes the “Was Appeal Withdrawn” field, and enters the appropriate date in the “Date Withdrawal Accepted by DHA” field. The ICA then makes the appropriate notations in the “Pre-hearing Resolutions” field.
Step 17	Participant, DHA, ICA	If MetaStar was unable to assist the IRIS participant in resolving their need for an appeal, DHA conducts the hearing as scheduled and the participant’s ICA represents the IRIS program.

DHS and ICA Negotiations

Step 18	Participant	The participant decides whether to work directly with their IRIS Consultant Agency or with the DHS to come to a mutually agreeable solution and avoid the need for a DHA hearing.
---------	-------------	---

Step 19	ICA and/or DHS	If the ICA and/or DHS assist the participant in negotiating solutions or providing clarification regarding the contents of NOAs, independent of MetaStar’s concurrent review, then the ICA and DHS check the status “Negotiations – ICA” and/or “Negotiations – DHS” respectively in the DHS/NOA SharePoint site to indicate to MetaStar their involvement in the process. ICAs and DHS document all contacts in the “Communication Log” in the DHS/NOA SharePoint site.
Step 20	Participant, DHS, ICA	The participant sends written notification to DHA of his/her intent to withdraw the request for appeal using the “Voluntary Withdrawal” form, upon negotiation of an acceptable resolution. The ICA can provide and assist the participant with the needed “Voluntary Withdrawal” form to submit to DHA to withdraw his/her application.
Step 21	DHA, DHS	DHA sends DHS formal notification of the participant’s withdrawn request for a hearing. DHS forwards the information to the ICA and MetaStar.
Step 22	ICA	The ICA enters “Withdrawn” as the status in the DHS/NOA SharePoint site, completes the “Was Appeal Withdrawn” field, and enters the appropriate date in the “Date Withdrawal Accepted by DHA” field. The ICA then makes the appropriate notations in the “Pre-hearing Resolutions” field.

Hearing Process

Step 23	DHA, DHS	DHA sends notification of the hearing date to the participant and DHS. DHS forwards all DHA communications to the ICA and MetaStar.
Step 24	ICA	The ICA completes the following fields in the DHS/Notice of Action SharePoint site: “Date Appeal Filed,” “Continuation of Services Requested,” “Continuation of Services Ordered by DHA,” “Date ICA Received Hearing Notice,” “Hearing Date,” and “Staff Notified of Hearing Date.”
Step 25	ICA	The ICA prepares a Summary of Action (SOA) and provides the SOA to DHA. The ICA completes the “Date SOA Sent to DHA” field in the DHS/Notice of Action SharePoint site.
Step 26	DHA, Participant, ICA	An Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) from DHA facilitates the fair hearing. The participant, and the ICA representing the IRIS program, provide evidence in support of their respective positions.
Step 27	ICA	The ICA completes the “Hearing Record Left Open” field in the DHS/NOA SharePoint site after the hearing.
Step 28	ALJ	The ALJ renders a decision on the appeal and provides written notice to DHS. DHS forwards the decision to the ICA.

Post-Hearing Activities

Step 29	ICA	If there is an initial hearing decision, then the ICA completes the following fields in the DHS/NOA SharePoint site: “Initial Hearing Decision” and “Initial Hearing Decision Date.” Subsequently, the ICA provides the ALJ with a written response to the proposed decision.
---------	-----	---

Step 30	ICA	If the ALJ renders a final decision, then the participant’s ICA then completes the following fields in the DHS/NOA SharePoint site: “Final Hearing Decision” and “Final Hearing Decision Date.” In cases where the ALJ mandates a new or updated Individual Support and Service Plan (ISSP), the ICA submits a plan restoring the disputed service(s) and completes the “New ISSP Needed” and “ISSP Update Sent” fields in the DHS/NOA SharePoint site. In cases where a revision to the Long Term Care Functional Screen (LTC FS) is required, the ICA completes the revision to the LTC FS and the “Date of LTCFS Revision” field in the DHS/NOA SharePoint site.
Step 31	ICA	Upon completion of all Administrative Actions, the ICA sends written notification to DHA of the completion of these tasks and completes the “Date of Certificate of Administrative Action” field in the DHS/NOA SharePoint site. The ICA then changes the status to “Closed.”

Appeals Process

Step 32	Participant	If the ALJ’s decision aligns with the decision of the IRIS program, then the participant decides whether to file for a re-hearing.
Step 33	ICA	The ICA continues to represent the IRIS program in re-hearings. The ICA captures these activities in the following fields in the DHS/NOA SharePoint site: “Re-hearing,” “Date of Re-hearing,” and “Re-hearing Decision.” If the re-hearing decision aligns with the participant’s desired outcome, then the ICA utilizes the preceding fields related to LTC FS revisions, plan updates, and certificates of administrative action as appropriate.

Monitoring Process

Step 34	DHS	DHS monitors the DHS/NOA SharePoint site to ensure that the ICA and MetaStar correctly enter information into the system and follow the process outlined in these work instructions.
Step 35	DHS	DHS provides directives regarding any required action in the “Communication Log” field of the DHS/NOA SharePoint site.
Step 36	ICA, MetaStar	The ICA and/or MetaStar complete all directives given by DHS in the “Communication Log” field of the DHS/NOA SharePoint site.

Data and Quality Management Process

Step 37	DHS	DHS extracts data from the DHS/NOA SharePoint site on a monthly basis as per the document, “Notice of Action Monthly Data Requirements.”
Step 38	ICA	The DHS/Quality Lead and the ICA Quality Manager meet monthly and review the NOA data. If the data indicates poor performance, then DHS requires the development and implementation of a Quality Improvement Project. The ICAs complete all Quality Improvement Projects as per IRIS Policy Manual section 10.4 and in accordance with any further direction by DHS.

8.1 Record Requests

Business Rules

1. IRIS participants may independently request copies of all, or a portion of, their records from the Department of Health Services (DHS), the IRIS consultant agency (ICA), the fiscal employment agent (FEA), and/or the IRIS Self-Directed Personal Care (IRIS SDPC) Oversight Agency. Requests must be made in writing.

2. A legal representative, an ombudsman, or other advocate may request records, on behalf of an IRIS participant, in writing.
3. The ombudsman, or any other individual acting on behalf of the participant, must present the agency from which they are requesting records, with a release of information and a list of requested documentation.
4. For hearings pertaining to fraud-related involuntary disenrollments, the DHS will provide the SharePoint case record(s) for all Fraud Allegation Review and Assessments (FARAs) that contributed to the decision to involuntarily disenroll the participant at the written request of the participant.
5. DHS will redact all information relative to the identity of the referral source collected in the FARA SharePoint site.
6. DHS will redact all information collected from the SharePoint site that is intended to measure the performance of the IRIS consultant agency (ICA) or fiscal employer agent (FEA).
7. DHS will consult with the Department of Justice (DOJ) prior to releasing any FARA SharePoint record if the fraud case has been accepted for investigation by the DOJ.
8. The participant's ICA and FEA will reproduce and provide all documentation as requested per their internal policy.
9. The IRIS Self-Directed Personal Care (IRIS SDPC) Oversight Agency will reproduce and provide all documentation as requested per their internal policy.
10. The participant should send requests for ICA, FEA, or IRIS SDPC Oversight Agency records directly to the agency that provides the participant with these services. The participant should request assistance from his or her IRIS Consultant if he or she is uncertain how to make the request.
11. The participant should send requests for information from the DHS SharePoint sites in writing to:

Office of IRIS Management
 Attn: Records Request
 Department of Health Services
 201 E. Washington Ave., Room B300
 Madison, WI 53703-7851

Making the Request for Records

Step #	Responsible Partner(s)	Detail
Step 1	Participant	The participant, independently or with the assistance of a legal representative, ombudsman, or other advocate, submits a written request to the agency or agencies (ICA, FEA, IRIS SDPC Oversight Agency, and DHS) from whom he or she would like copies of his or her records.
Step 2	Participant, Advocate	In situations where an advocate is acting on behalf of the IRIS participant, the participant and advocate sign and submit a release of information along with the written record request.

When Records are Requested from the DHS Office of IRIS Management (OIM)

Step 3	DHS OIM	DHS produces copies of all requested records. DHS redacts all information that identifies the referral source in FARA SharePoint records or has been collected with the intent of measuring the performance of the ICA and FEA.
Step 4	DHS OIM, DOJ	DHS consults with the DOJ prior to releasing any records related to substantiated fraud for which the DOJ has accepted the case for investigation and is pursuing potential prosecution.

When Records are Requested from the ICA, FEA, or IRIS SDPC Oversight Agency

Step 5	Agency	The agency (ICA, FEA, or IRIS SDPC Oversight Agency) receiving the request reproduces and provides all documentation as requested. The only information permitted to be redacted is information that identifies the referral source in a FARA case.
--------	--------	---

Chapter 9: IRIS Self-Directed Personal Care (SDPC)

9.0 IRIS SDPC Forms and Documentation

Business Rules

1. The IRIS SDPC Oversight Agency maintains responsibility to educate participants on the option of naming an IRIS SDPC representative at the time of enrollment in the IRIS SDPC program, and annually thereafter, using the IRIS Participant Education: IRIS Self-Directed Personal Care ([F-01205J](#)) form.
2. The IRIS SDPC Oversight Agency establishes a participant’s eligibility for the IRIS SDPC program using the Personal Care Screening Tool ([F-11133](#)). This tool is completed annually or when there is a change in condition that requires more or less personal cares.
3. The IRIS SDPC Oversight Agency is required to complete the IRIS Self-Directed Personal Care (SDPC) – My Cares ([F-01566](#)) form at the time of enrollment in the IRIS SDPC program, and annually thereafter.
4. The IRIS SDPC Oversight Agency is required to complete the IRIS Self-Directed Personal Care (SDPC) – Physician Order and Plan of Care (F-01566A) form annually or when there is a change in condition that requires more or less personal cares.
5. The IRIS consultants (ICs) for participants receiving IRIS consultant agency (ICA) services from The Management Group are required to complete an IRIS Self-Directed Personal Care (SDPC) Disclosure Statement ([F-01258](#)) with participants at the time of enrollment in the IRIS program and each time personal care options are discussed.
6. The IRIS SDPC Oversight Agency is required to use the versions of the forms provided by the Office of IRIS Management (OIM) and linked below. The IRIS SDPC Oversight Agency is not permitted to use its own version of these documents, formerly known as My Cares Parts A, B, and C.
 - IRIS Participant Education: IRIS Self-Directed Personal Care ([F-01205J](#))



- IRIS Self-Directed Personal Care (SDPC) – My Cares ([F-01566](#))
 - IRIS Self-Directed Personal Care (SDPC) – Physician Order and Plan of Care (F-01566A)
7. The IRIS SDPC nurse must document all oversight visits. The IRIS SDPC Oversight Agency may use its own form for this documentation; however, OIM must approve its format to ensure all required information is documented.
 8. The IRIS SDPC nurse must complete and attach the oversight visit reports in the Document Console in the IRIS SDPC record in the Wisconsin Self-Directed Information Technology System (WISITS) within 72 hours of the oversight visit.
 9. All documents must be attached in the Document Console in the IRIS SDPC record in WISITS using the prescribed naming convention identified in the Standard Naming Convention for IRIS (Include, Respect, I Self-Direct) Program Documents ([P-01032](#)).
 10. The IRIS SDPC nurse must document each contact with the participant receiving IRIS SDPC in the Notes Console in the IRIS SDPC record in WISITS. The IRIS SDPC nurse must document each contact within 72 hours of the contact.

Education

Step #	Responsible Partner(s)	Detail
Step 1	IRIS SDPC Oversight Agency	The IRIS SDPC Oversight Agency educates the participant and/or legal representative regarding the option of designating an IRIS SDPC representative the IRIS SDPC program at the time of enrollment and on an annual basis using the IRIS Participant Education: IRIS Self-Directed Personal Care (F-01205J) form.

Completion of Forms

Step 2	IRIS SDPC Nurse	The IRIS SDPC nurse completes the required forms with the participant, legal representative, or IRIS representative and attaches the forms in the Document Console of the IRIS SDPC record in WISITS.
Step 3	Participant	The IC completes the required forms with the participant, legal representative, or IRIS representative and attaches the forms in the Document Console of the Participant record in WISITS.
Step 4	IRIS SDPC Nurse	The IRIS SDPC nurse completes the IRIS SDPC oversight reports and attaches the forms in the Document Console of the IRIS SDPC record in WISITS within 72 hours of the oversight visit.

Completion of Case Notes

Step 5	IRIS SDPC Nurse	The IRIS SDPC nurse completes the IRIS SDPC oversight reports and attaches the forms in the Notes Console of the IRIS SDPC record in WISITS within 72 hours of the contact.
--------	-----------------	---

Data Collection, Reporting, and Monitoring

Step 6	DHS	<p>The Department of Health Services (DHS) ensures the following relative to documentation and forms through the IRIS SDPC record review process:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Completion of the Personal Care Screening Tool; • Completion of the IRIS Participant Education: IRIS Self-Directed Personal Care (F-01205J); • Completion of the IRIS Self-Directed Personal Care (SDPC) – My Cares (F-01566); • Completion of the IRIS Self-Directed Personal Care (SDPC) – Physician Order and Plan of Care (F-01566A); • Completion of the Personal Care Screening Tool (F-11133); • Quality of case notes; and • Completion of an IRIS Self-Directed Personal Care (SDPC) Disclosure Statement (F-01258) for participants who receive ICA services from The Management Group (TMG).
Step 7	IRIS SDPC Oversight Agency	<p>When required by DHS, the IRIS SDPC Oversight Agency completes quality improvement projects according to section 10.4B.1, Quality Management Plans.</p>

9.1 IRIS SDPC: Oversight Home Visits

Business Rules

1. The IRIS SDPC Oversight Agency maintains responsibility to educate participants on the requirement of home visits at the time of enrollment in the IRIS SDPC program, and annually thereafter, using the IRIS Participant Education: IRIS Self-Directed Personal Care ([F-01205J](#)) document and as part of the completion of the form, IRIS Self-Directed Personal Care (SDPC) – My Cares ([F-01566](#)). (The [F-01566](#) is the participant’s care plan specific to IRIS SDPC.)
2. The IRIS SDPC Nurse is responsible for reviewing the participant’s [F-01566](#) and evaluating the participant’s health status and care.
3. The participant or IRIS SDPC Representative is responsible for supervising providers of IRIS SDPC services. Note: In contrast to Medicaid Personal Care (MAPC), IRIS SDPC nurses do not delegate responsibility to the IRIS SDPC workers.
4. The IRIS SDPC Oversight Agency does not hire or employ IRIS SDPC workers.
5. The standard required frequency of IRIS SDPC oversight visits is every 60 days.
6. IRIS SDPC oversight home visits may occur less frequently (quarterly, semi-annually, or annually) if specified on the [F-01566](#) signed by the participant, participant’s physician, and IRIS SDPC nurse. The span of time between oversight visits must not exceed one year in cases wherein annual oversight home visits are permitted.
7. The IRIS SDPC nurse reviews the [F-01566](#) every 60 days for all participants regardless of the frequency of oversight visits ordered on the [F-01566](#).

8. The participant or IRIS SDPC representative, the IRIS SDPC nurse, and/or the participant’s physician can terminate agreements to modify the frequency of oversight visits at any time.

Education

Step #	Responsible Partner(s)	Detail
Step 1	IRIS SDPC Oversight Agency	The IRIS SDPC Oversight Agency educates the participant and/or legal representative regarding the frequency of oversight home visits at the time of enrollment and on an annual basis using the IRIS Participant Education: IRIS Self-Directed Personal Care document.

Requesting and Obtaining Fewer IRIS SDPC Nurse Oversight Visits

Step 2	Participant, IRIS SDPC Rep	The participant and/or IRIS SDPC Representative makes a request for fewer oversight visits to the IRIS SDPC nurse when the participant and/or IRIS SDPC representative believes that visits every 60 days are unnecessary.
Step 3	IRIS SDPC Nurse, Participant’s Physician	The participant’s physician and IRIS SDPC nurse must determine whether the frequency of visits requested by the participant or IRIS SDPC representative: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ensures the participant’s health and welfare; • provides effective oversight; and • ensures the participant’s needs are met by the IRIS SDPC program.
Step 4	IRIS SDPC Nurse	The IRIS SDPC nurse communicates the decided-upon frequency to the participant or IRIS SDPC Representative and documents the required frequency of oversight visits on the F-01566 .
Step 5	IRIS SDPC Nurse, Participant	The IRIS SDPC nurse and participant or IRIS SDPC representative completes the oversight visits at the required frequency documented on the F-01566 signed by the prescribing physician.

Data Collection, Reporting, and Monitoring

Step 6	DHS	The Department of Health Services (DHS) uses the IRIS SDPC record review process to ensure the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Completion of the IRIS Participant Education: IRIS Self-Directed Personal Care (F-01205J) document • Completion of the oversight visits • Documentation of the oversight visits • Completion of the IRIS Self-Directed Personal Care (SDPC) – My Cares (F-01566) document.
--------	-----	---