Managed Care Program Annual Report (MCPAR) for Wisconsin: Milwaukee County PIHP

Due date Last edited		Edited by	Status
12/27/2023	12/14/2023	Joseph Bouxa	Submitted
	Indicator	Response	
	Exclusion of CHIP from	Not Selected	
MCPAR			
Enrollees in separate CHIP			
	programs funded under Title		
	XXI should not be reported in		
the MCPAR. Please check this			
box if the state is unable to remove information about			
	Separate CHIP enrollees from		
	its reporting on this program.		

Point of Contact



Find in the Excel Workbook **A_Program_Info**

Number	Indicator	Response
A1	State name	Wisconsin
	Auto-populated from your account profile.	
A2a	Contact name	Joseph Bouxa
	First and last name of the contact person. States that do not wish to list a specific individual on the report are encouraged to use a department or program-wide email address that will allow anyone with questions to quickly reach someone who can provide answers.	
A2b	Contact email address	Josephw.Bouxa@dhs.wisconsin.gov
	Enter email address. Department or program-wide email addresses ok.	
A3a	Submitter name	Deborah Rathermel
	CMS receives this data upon submission of this MCPAR report.	
A3b	Submitter email address	deborah.rathermel@wi.gov
	CMS receives this data upon submission of this MCPAR report.	
A4	Date of report submission	12/15/2023
	CMS receives this date upon submission of this MCPAR report.	

Reporting Period



Find in the Excel Workbook **A_Program_Info**

Number	Indicator	Response
A5a	Reporting period start date	07/01/2022
	Auto-populated from report dashboard.	
A5b	Reporting period end date	06/30/2023
	Auto-populated from report dashboard.	
A6	Program name	Milwaukee County PIHP
	Auto-populated from report dashboard.	

Add plans (A.7)

Enter the name of each plan that participates in the program for which the state is reporting data.



Find in the Excel Workbook **A_Program_Info**

Indicator	Response
Plan name	Wraparound Milwaukee

Add BSS entities (A.8)

Enter the names of Beneficiary Support System (BSS) entities that support enrollees in the program for which the state is reporting data. Learn more about BSS entities at <u>42</u> <u>CFR 438.71</u>. See Glossary in Excel Workbook for the definition of BSS entities.

Examples of BSS entity types include a: State or Local Government Entity, Ombudsman Program, State Health Insurance Program (SHIP), Aging and Disability Resource Network (ADRN), Center for Indepedent Living (CIL), Legal Assistance Organization, Community-based Organization, Subcontractor, Enrollment Broker, Consultant, or Academic/Research Organization.



Find in the Excel Workbook **A_Program_Info**

Indicator	Response
BSS entity name	Milwaukee County Resource and Referral line 414-257-7607

Topic I. Program Characteristics and Enrollment



Find in the Excel Workbook **B_State**

Number	Indicator	Response
BI.1	Statewide Medicaid enrollment	1,628,507
	Enter the average number of individuals enrolled in Medicaid per month during the reporting year (i.e., average member months). Include all FFS and managed care enrollees and count each person only once, regardless of the delivery system(s) in which they are enrolled.	
BI.2	Statewide Medicaid managed care enrollment	1,192,121
	Enter the average number of individuals enrolled in any type of Medicaid managed care per month during the reporting year (i.e., average member months). Include all managed care programs and count each person only once, even if they are enrolled in multiple managed care programs or plans.	

Topic III. Encounter Data Report



Find in the Excel Workbook **B_State**

Number	Indicator	Response	
BIII.1	Data validation entity	Other third-party vendor	
	Select the state agency/division or contractor tasked with evaluating the validity of encounter data submitted by MCPs. Encounter data validation includes verifying the accuracy, completeness, timeliness, and/or consistency of encounter data records submitted to the state by Medicaid managed care plans. Validation steps may include pre-acceptance edits and post- acceptance analyses. See Glossary in Excel Workbook for more information.		

Topic X: Program Integrity



Find in the Excel Workbook **B_State**

Number	Indicator	Response
BX.1	Payment risks between the state and plans Describe service-specific or other focused PI activities that the state conducted during the past year in this managed care program. Examples include analyses focused on use of long-term services and supports (LTSS) or prescription drugs or activities that focused on specific payment issues to identify, address, and prevent fraud, waste or abuse. Consider data analytics, reviews of under/overutilization, and other activities.	The plan reports issues of fraud, waste, and abuse to the state via quarterly program integrity reports. The state monitors the quarterly reports and partners with the plan to send referrals to the MFCU. The state also analyzes the quarterly program integrity reports for trends and concerns regarding fraud, waste, and abuse and follows up as appropriate. The state has the authority to conduct network provider audits of the plan. However, none were completed this fiscal year for this plan due to the minimal impact and exposure in Wisconsin Medicaid for Children Come First. However, the state did focused reviews of higher risk providers in other networks. Those audits included reviewing encounters submitted after a member's date of death, orthotics, and COVID lab testing. In addition, the state conducts annual reviews of capitation payments paid after date of death, the state reviews capitation payments paid for stillborns, and the state reviews enhanced kick payments paid to HMOs for birth events.
BX.2	Contract standard for overpayments Does the state allow plans to retain overpayments, require the return of overpayments, or has established a hybrid system? Select one.	Allow plans to retain overpayments
ВХ.3	Location of contract provision stating overpayment standard Describe where the overpayment standard in the previous indicator is located in plan contracts, as required by 42 CFR 438.608(d)(1)(i).	Article XII, Section J. 5. a.
BX.4	Description of overpayment contract standard Briefly describe the overpayment standard (for example, details on whether the state allows plans to retain overpayments, requires the	Pursuant to 42 CFR § 438.608(d), the County PIHP must attempt to recover all overpayments made to network providers, including those overpayments attributed to fraud, waste, and abuse, identified by the County PIHP. The County PIHP recovers the payments and retains

	plans to return overpayments, or administers a hybrid system) selected in indicator B.X.2.	the funds for all overpayments identified by the County PIHP, provider or DHS OIG. Any overpayment identified by DHS OIG would be an estimated overpayment based on the max fee schedules. The County PIHPs would be responsible for determining the actual overpayment amount.
BX.5	State overpayment reporting monitoring Describe how the state monitors plan performance in reporting overpayments to the state, e.g. does the state track compliance with this requirement and/or timeliness of reporting? The regulations at 438.604(a) (7), 608(a)(2) and 608(a)(3) require plan reporting to the state on various overpayment pieces (whether annually or promptly). This indicator is asking the state how it monitors that reporting.	The state collects all overpayment data on the Overpayment Recovery tab of the quarterly program integrity report. The report includes the date the overpayment was identified and the date the overpayment recovery was complete. The state provides technical assistance after each quarterly program integrity report submission which includes a review of timeliness of recoveries.
BX.6	Changes in beneficiary circumstances Describe how the state ensures timely and accurate reconciliation of enrollment files between the state and plans to ensure appropriate payments for enrollees experiencing a change in status (e.g., incarcerated, deceased, switching plans).	The State requires the plan to monitor the enrollment rosters that are available through a weekly electronic file transfer that will provide ongoing information about member status. The plan will then report any overpayments that require recoupment due to change in members' circumstances.
BX.7a	Changes in provider circumstances: Monitoring plans Does the state monitor whether plans report provider "for cause" terminations in a timely manner under 42 CFR 438.608(a)(4)? Select one.	Yes
BX.7b	Changes in provider circumstances: Metrics Does the state use a metric or indicator to assess plan reporting performance? Select one.	Yes
BX.7c	Changes in provider circumstances: Describe metric Describe the metric or indicator that the state uses.	The state collects all termination data on the Termed Sanctioned or Suspended tab of the quarterly program integrity report. The report includes the date of the termination by the plan and the date the state was notified. The state provides technical assistance after each

quarterly program integrity report submission which includes a review of timeliness of termination notifications.

BX.8a Federal database checks: Excluded person or entities

During the state's federal database checks, did the state find any person or entity excluded? Select one. Consistent with the requirements at 42 CFR 455.436 and 438.602, the State must confirm the identity and determine the exclusion status of the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM or PCCM entity, any subcontractor, as well as any person with an ownership or control interest, or who is an agent or managing employee of the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM or PCCM entity through routine checks of Federal databases.

BX.9a Website posting of 5 percent No or more ownership control

Does the state post on its website the names of individuals and entities with 5% or more ownership or control interest in MCOs, PIHPs, PAHPs, PCCMs and PCCM entities and subcontractors? Refer to §455.104 and required by 42 CFR 438.602(g)(3).

BX.10 Periodic audits

N/A

No

If the state conducted any audits during the contract year to determine the accuracy, truthfulness, and completeness of the encounter and financial data submitted by the plans, what is the link(s) to the audit results? Refer to 42 CFR 438.602(e).

Topic I: Program Characteristics



Find in the Excel Workbook C1_Program_Set

Number	Indicator	Response
C1I.1	Program contract	Current 2020-2022 Wraparound Milwaukee Contract (updated November 2021)
	Enter the title of the contract between the state and plans participating in the managed care program.	
N/A	Enter the date of the contract between the state and plans participating in the managed care program.	07/01/2022
C1I.2	Contract URL	https://www.forwardhealth.wi.gov/WIPortal/Subsystem/ManagedCare/Children_Specialty.aspx
	Provide the hyperlink to the model contract or landing page for executed contracts for the program reported in this program.	
C1I.3	Program type	Prepaid Inpatient Health Plan (PIHP)
	What is the type of MCPs that contract with the state to provide the services covered under the program? Select one.	
C1I.4a	Special	Behavioral health
	program benefits	Transportation
	Are any of the four special benefit types covered by the managed care program: (1) behavioral	

	health, (2) long-term services and supports, (3) dental, and (4) transportation, or (5) none of the above? Select one or more. Only list the benefit type if it is a covered service as specified in a contract between the state and managed care plans participating in the program. Benefits available to eligible program enrollees via fee-for-service should not be listed here.	
C1I.4b	Variation in special benefits	Program is administered only in Milwaukee County to individuals who have been diagnosed with a SED with high risk of out of home placement.
	What are any variations in the availability of special benefits within the program (e.g. by service area or population)? Enter "N/A" if not applicable.	
C1I.5	Program enrollment	699
	Enter the average number of individuals enrolled in this managed care program per month during the reporting year (i.e., average member months).	
C1I.6	Changes to enrollment or benefits	No major changes

Briefly explain any major changes to the population enrolled in or benefits provided by the managed care program during the reporting year.

Topic III: Encounter Data Report



Find in the Excel Workbook
C1_Program_Set

Number	Indicator	Response
C1III.1	Uses of encounter data	Rate setting
	For what purposes does the state use encounter data	Quality/performance measurement
	collected from managed care plans (MCPs)? Select one or more.	Monitoring and reporting
	Federal regulations require that states, through their contracts	Contract oversight
	with MCPs, collect and maintain sufficient enrollee encounter data to identify the provider who delivers any item(s) or service(s) to enrollees (42 CFR 438.242(c)(1)).	Policy making and decision support
C1III.2	Criteria/measures to evaluate MCP performance	Timeliness of initial data submissions
	What types of measures are	Use of correct file formats
	used by the state to evaluate managed care plan performance in encounter data	Provider ID field complete
	performance in encounter data submission and correction? Select one or more. Federal regulations also require that states validate that submitted enrollee encounter data they receive is a complete and accurate representation of the services provided to enrollees under the contract between the state and the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP. 42 CFR 438.242(d).	Overall data accuracy (as determined through data validation)
C1III.3	Encounter data performance criteria contract language	Article VII. D.5
	Provide reference(s) to the contract section(s) that describe the criteria by which managed care plan performance on encounter data submission and correction will be measured. Use contract section references, not page numbers.	
C1III.4	Financial penalties contract language	Article XIV.C
	Provide reference(s) to the contract section(s) that describes any financial	

describes any financial penalties the state may impose on plans for the types of

	failures to meet encounter data submission and quality standards. Use contract section references, not page numbers.	
C1III.5	Incentives for encounter data quality	No incentives awarded to Wraparound Milwaukee
	Describe the types of incentives that may be awarded to managed care plans for encounter data quality. Reply with "N/A" if the plan does not use incentives to award encounter data quality.	
C1III.6	Barriers to collecting/validating encounter data	None
	Describe any barriers to collecting and/or validating managed care plan encounter data that the state has experienced during the reporting period.	

Topic IV. Appeals, State Fair Hearings & Grievances



Find in the Excel Workbook
C1_Program_Set

Number	Indicator	Response
C1IV.1	State's definition of "critical incident," as used for reporting purposes in its MLTSS program	N/A
	If this report is being completed for a managed care program that covers LTSS, what is the definition that the state uses for "critical incidents" within the managed care program? Respond with "N/A" if the managed care program does not cover LTSS.	
C1IV.2	State definition of "timely" resolution for standard appeals	Per 7.2.2 of the State's Member Grievances and Appeals Guide defines the 'Standard Resolution of Appeals' timeframe for a final written
	Provide the state's definition of timely resolution for standard appeals in the managed care program. Per 42 CFR §438.408(b)(2), states must establish a timeframe for timely resolution of standard appeals that is no longer than 30 calendar days from the day the MCO, PIHP or PAHP receives the appeal.	decision resolving the grievance within 30 calendar days of receiving the grievance (oral or written).'
C1IV.3	State definition of "timely" resolution for expedited appeals	Per 7.2.3 of the State's Member Grievances and Appeals Guide defines the 'Expedited Resolution of Appeals' timeframe for a 'For
	Provide the state's definition of timely resolution for expedited appeals in the managed care program. Per 42 CFR §438.408(b)(3), states must establish a timeframe for timely resolution of expedited appeals that is no longer than 72 hours after the MCO, PIHP or PAHP receives the appeal.	expedited resolution of an appeal, the Health Plan must make reasonable effort to provide oral notice and issue a written disposition of an expedited hearing decision within 72 hours of receiving the verbal or written request for an expedited resolution.'
C1IV.4	State definition of "timely" resolution for grievances	Per 7.2.1 of the State's Member Grievances and Appeals Guide defines the 'Standard Resolution
	Provide the state's definition of timely resolution for grievances	of Grievances' timeframe for a 'final written decision resolving the appeal within 30

timely resolution for grievances in the managed care program. Per 42 CFR §438.408(b)(1), states must establish a timeframe for timely resolution

decision resolving the appeal within 30 calendar days of receiving the appeal.'

of grievances that is no longer than 90 calendar days from the day the MCO, PIHP or PAHP receives the grievance.

Topic V. Availability, Accessibility and Network Adequacy

Network Adequacy



Find in the Excel Workbook

C1_Program_Set

Indicator	Response
Gaps/challenges in network adequacy	The State has analyzed Wraparound Milwaukee's provider network data. The
What are the state's biggest challenges? Describe any challenges MCPs have maintaining adequate networks and meeting standards.	program meets all contract requirements for access.
State response to gaps in network adequacy	N/A
How does the state work with MCPs to address gaps in network adequacy?	
	Gaps/challenges in network adequacy What are the state's biggest challenges? Describe any challenges MCPs have maintaining adequate networks and meeting standards. State response to gaps in network adequacy How does the state work with MCPs to address gaps in

Topic V. Availability, Accessibility and Network Adequacy

Access Measures

Describe the measures the state uses to monitor availability, accessibility, and network adequacy. Report at the program level.

Revisions to the Medicaid managed care regulations in 2016 and 2020 built on existing requirements that managed care plans maintain provider networks sufficient to ensure adequate access to covered services by: (1) requiring states to develop quantitative network adequacy standards for at least eight specified provider types if covered under the contract, and to make these standards available online; (2) strengthening network adequacy monitoring requirements; and (3) addressing the needs of people with long-term care service needs (42 CFR 438.66; 42 CFR 438.68).


42 CFR 438.66(e) specifies that the MCPAR must provide information on and an assessment of the availability and accessibility of covered services within the MCO, PHIP, or PAHP contracts, including network adequacy standards for each managed care program.



Find in the Excel Workbook **C2_Program_State**

Access measure total count: 3

O mplete	C2.V.1 General categor accessibility standard	y: General quantitati	ve availability and	1/3
	C2.V.2 Measure standard			
	1:500			
	C2.V.3 Standard type			
	Provider to enrollee ratio	DS		
	C2.V.4 Provider	C2.V.5 Region	C2.V.6 Population	
	Behavioral health	Large counties	Adult and pediatric	
	C2.V.7 Monitoring Methods	5		
	Plan provider roster revi	ew		
	C2.V.8 Frequency of oversi	ght methods		
	Monthly			





C2.V.1 General category: General quantitative availability and accessibility standard

C2.V.2 Measure standard

The County must have a mental health and substance abuse provider (including access to qualified treatment trainees) within a 35 mile travel distance from any member residing in the County service area.

C2.V.3 Standard type

Maximum time or distance

C2.V.4 Provider Behavioral health

C2.V.5 Region Large counties **C2.V.6 Population** Adult and pediatric 3/3

C2.V.7 Monitoring Methods

Plan provider roster review

C2.V.8 Frequency of oversight methods Monthly

Topic IX: Beneficiary Support System (BSS)



Find in the Excel Workbook
C1_Program_Set

Number	Indicator	Response
C1IX.1	BSS website List the website(s) and/or email address that beneficiaries use to seek assistance from the BSS through electronic means. Separate entries with commas.	http://wraparoundmke.com/test-document- forms-page/forms-for-families/
C1IX.2	BSS auxiliary aids and services How do BSS entities offer services in a manner that is accessible to all beneficiaries who need their services, including beneficiaries with disabilities, as required by 42 CFR 438.71(b)(2))? CFR 438.71 requires that the beneficiary support system be accessible in multiple ways including phone, Internet, in- person, and via auxiliary aids and services when requested.	Within the Family Handbook WM describes how care coordination support services are obtained, as well as, information about advocacy services.
C1IX.3	BSS LTSS program data How do BSS entities assist the state with identifying, remediating, and resolving systemic issues based on a review of LTSS program data such as grievances and appeals or critical incident data? Refer to 42 CFR 438.71(d)(4).	WM does not provide Long-term services and supports as an acute behavioral health program.
C1IX.4	State evaluation of BSS entity performance What are steps taken by the state to evaluate the quality, effectiveness, and efficiency of the BSS entities' performance?	The State does not evaluate the BSS entities' performance.

Topic X: Program Integrity



Find in the Excel Workbook C1_Program_Set

Number	Indicator	Response
C1X.3	Prohibited affiliation disclosure	Νο
	Did any plans disclose prohibited affiliations? If the state took action, enter those actions under D: Plan-level Indicators, Section VIII - Sanctions (Corresponds with Tab D3 in the Excel Workbook). Refer to 42 CFR 438.610(d).	

Topic I. Program Characteristics & Enrollment



Number	Indicator	Response
D1I.1	Plan enrollment	Wraparound Milwaukee
	Enter the average number of individuals enrolled in the plan per month during the reporting year (i.e., average member months).	699
D1I.2	Plan share of Medicaid	Wraparound Milwaukee
	 What is the plan enrollment (within the specific program) as a percentage of the state's total Medicaid enrollment? Numerator: Plan enrollment (D1.I.1) Denominator: Statewide Medicaid enrollment (B.I.1) 	0.043%
D1I.3	Plan share of any Medicaid managed care	Wraparound Milwaukee 0.059%
	 What is the plan enrollment (regardless of program) as a percentage of total Medicaid enrollment in any type of managed care? Numerator: Plan enrollment (D1.I.1) Denominator: Statewide Medicaid managed care enrollment (B.I.2) 	

Topic II. Financial Performance



Number	Indicator	Response
D1II.1a	Medical Loss Ratio (MLR) What is the MLR percentage? Per 42 CFR 438.66(e)(2)(i), the Managed Care Program Annual Report must provide information on the Financial performance of each MCO, PIHP, and PAHP, including MLR experience. If MLR data are not available for this reporting period due to data lags, enter the MLR calculated for the most recently available reporting period and indicate the reporting period in item D1.II.3 below. See Glossary in Excel Workbook for the regulatory definition of MLR.	Wraparound Milwaukee 84%
D1II.1b	Level of aggregation What is the aggregation level that best describes the MLR being reported in the previous indicator? Select one. As permitted under 42 CFR 438.8(i), states are allowed to aggregate data for reporting purposes across programs and populations.	Wraparound Milwaukee Program-specific regional
D1II.2	Population specific MLR description Does the state require plans to submit separate MLR calculations for specific populations served within this program, for example, MLTSS or Group VIII expansion enrollees? If so, describe the populations here. Enter "N/A" if not applicable. See glossary for the regulatory definition of MLR.	Wraparound Milwaukee N/A
D1II.3	MLR reporting period discrepancies Does the data reported in item D1.II.1a cover a different time period than the MCPAR report?	Wraparound Milwaukee Yes
N/A	Enter the start date.	Wraparound Milwaukee

07/01/2021

N/A Enter the end date.

Wraparound Milwaukee

06/30/2022

Topic III. Encounter Data



Number	Indicator	Response
D1III.1	Definition of timely encounter data submissions Describe the state's standard for timely encounter data submissions used in this program. If reporting frequencies and standards differ by type of encounter within this program, please explain.	Wraparound Milwaukee The standard for timely submissions of encounter data for the Wraparound Milwaukee program is 180 days from the date these programs made payment to the provider.
D1III.2	Share of encounter data submissions that met state's timely submission requirements What percent of the plan's encounter data file submissions (submitted during the reporting period) met state requirements for timely submission? If the state has not yet received any encounter data file submissions for the entire contract period when it submits this report, the state should enter here the percentage of encounter data submissions that were compliant out of the file submissions it has received from the managed care plan for the reporting period.	Wraparound Milwaukee 83%
D1III.3	Share of encounter data submissions that were HIPAA compliant What percent of the plan's encounter data submissions (submitted during the reporting period) met state requirements for HIPAA compliance? If the state has not yet received encounter data submissions for the entire contract period when it submits this report, enter here percentage of encounter data submissions that were compliant out of the proportion received from the managed care plan for the reporting period.	Wraparound Milwaukee 89%

Topic IV. Appeals, State Fair Hearings & Grievances

Appeals Overview



Number	Indicator	Response
D1IV.1	Appeals resolved (at the plan level) Enter the total number of appeals resolved during the reporting year. An appeal is "resolved" at the plan level when the plan has issued a decision, regardless of whether the decision was wholly or partially favorable or adverse to the beneficiary, and regardless of whether the beneficiary (or the beneficiary's representative) chooses to file a request for a State Fair Hearing or External Medical Review.	Wraparound Milwaukee O
D1IV.2	Active appeals Enter the total number of appeals still pending or in process (not yet resolved) as of the end of the reporting year.	Wraparound Milwaukee 0
D1IV.3	Appeals filed on behalf of LTSS users Enter the total number of appeals filed during the reporting year by or on behalf of LTSS users. Enter "N/A" if not applicable. An LTSS user is an enrollee who received at least one LTSS service at any point during the reporting year (regardless of whether the enrollee was actively receiving LTSS at the time that the appeal was filed).	Wraparound Milwaukee N/A
D1IV.4	Number of critical incidents filed during the reporting period by (or on behalf of) an LTSS user who previously filed an appeal For managed care plans that cover LTSS, enter the number of critical incidents filed within	Wraparound Milwaukee N/A

the reporting period by (or on
behalf of) LTSS users who
previously filed appeals in the
reporting year. If the managed
care plan does not cover LTSS,
enter "N/A".
Also, if the state already
submitted this data for the
reporting year via the CMS
readiness review appeal and
grievance report (because the

readiness review appeal and grievance report (because the managed care program or plan were new or serving new populations during the reporting year), and the readiness review tool was submitted for at least 6 months of the reporting year, enter "N/A".

The appeal and critical incident do not have to have been "related" to the same issue they only need to have been filed by (or on behalf of) the same enrollee. Neither the critical incident nor the appeal need to have been filed in relation to delivery of LTSS they may have been filed for any reason, related to any service received (or desired) by an LTSS user.

To calculate this number, states or managed care plans should first identify the LTSS users for whom critical incidents were filed during the reporting year, then determine whether those enrollees had filed an appeal during the reporting year, and whether the filing of the appeal preceded the filing of the critical incident.

D1IV.5a	Standard appeals for which timely resolution was provided	Wraparound Milwaukee 0
	Enter the total number of standard appeals for which timely resolution was provided by plan during the reporting period. See 42 CFR §438.408(b)(2) for requirements related to timely resolution of standard appeals.	
D1IV.5b	Expedited appeals for which timely resolution was provided	Wraparound Milwaukee 0

	requirements related to timely resolution of standard appeals.	
D1IV.6a	Resolved appeals related to denial of authorization or limited authorization of a service Enter the total number of appeals resolved by the plan during the reporting year that were related to the plan's denial of authorization for a service not yet rendered or limited authorization of a service. (Appeals related to denial of payment for a service already rendered should be counted in indicator D1.IV.6c).	Wraparound Milwaukee O
D1IV.6b	Resolved appeals related to reduction, suspension, or termination of a previously authorized service Enter the total number of appeals resolved by the plan during the reporting year that were related to the plan's reduction, suspension, or termination of a previously authorized service.	Wraparound Milwaukee 0
D1IV.6c	Resolved appeals related to payment denial Enter the total number of appeals resolved by the plan during the reporting year that were related to the plan's denial, in whole or in part, of payment for a service that was already rendered.	Wraparound Milwaukee 0
D1IV.6d	Resolved appeals related to service timeliness Enter the total number of appeals resolved by the plan during the reporting year that were related to the plan's failure to provide services in a timely manner (as defined by the state).	Wraparound Milwaukee 0
D1IV.6e	Resolved appeals related to lack of timely plan response to an appeal or grievance Enter the total number of appeals resolved by the plan during the reporting year that were related to the plan's failure to act within the timeframes provided at 42 CFR	Wraparound Milwaukee O

	§438.408(b)(1) and (2) regarding the standard resolution of grievances and appeals.	
D1IV.6f	Resolved appeals related to plan denial of an enrollee's right to request out-of- network care	Wraparound Milwaukee 0
	Enter the total number of appeals resolved by the plan during the reporting year that were related to the plan's denial of an enrollee's request to exercise their right, under 42 CFR §438.52(b)(2)(ii), to obtain services outside the network (only applicable to residents of rural areas with only one MCO).	
D1IV.6g	Resolved appeals related to denial of an enrollee's request to dispute financial liability	Wraparound Milwaukee 0
	Enter the total number of appeals resolved by the plan during the reporting year that were related to the plan's denial of an enrollee's request to dispute a financial liability.	

Topic IV. Appeals, State Fair Hearings & Grievances

Appeals by Service

Number of appeals resolved during the reporting period related to various services. Note: A single appeal may be related to multiple service types and may therefore be counted in multiple categories.



Find in the Excel Workbook

D1_Plan_Set

Number	Indicator	Response
D1IV.7a	Resolved appeals related to general inpatient services	Wraparound Milwaukee 0
	Enter the total number of appeals resolved by the plan during the reporting year that were related to general inpatient care, including diagnostic and laboratory services. Do not include appeals related to inpatient behavioral health services – those should be included in indicator D1.IV.7c. If the managed care plan does not cover general inpatient services, enter "N/A".	
D1IV.7b	Resolved appeals related to general outpatient services	Wraparound Milwaukee 0
	Enter the total number of appeals resolved by the plan during the reporting year that were related to general outpatient care, including diagnostic and laboratory services. Please do not include appeals related to outpatient behavioral health services – those should be included in indicator D1.IV.7d. If the managed care plan does not cover general outpatient services, enter "N/A".	0
D1IV.7c	Resolved appeals related to inpatient behavioral health services	Wraparound Milwaukee 0
	Enter the total number of appeals resolved by the plan during the reporting year that were related to inpatient mental health and/or	

D1IV.7h	Resolved appeals related to	Wraparound Milwaukee
	Enter the total number of appeals resolved by the plan during the reporting year that were related to institutional LTSS or LTSS provided through home and community-based (HCBS) services, including personal care and self-directed services. If the managed care plan does not cover LTSS services, enter "N/A".	
D1IV.7g	Resolved appeals related to long-term services and supports (LTSS)	Wraparound Milwaukee N/A
	Enter the total number of appeals resolved by the plan during the reporting year that were related to SNF services. If the managed care plan does not cover skilled nursing services, enter "N/A".	
D1IV.7f	Resolved appeals related to skilled nursing facility (SNF) services	Wraparound Milwaukee N/A
	Enter the total number of appeals resolved by the plan during the reporting year that were related to outpatient prescription drugs covered by the managed care plan. If the managed care plan does not cover outpatient prescription drugs, enter "N/A".	
D1IV.7e	Resolved appeals related to covered outpatient prescription drugs	Wraparound Milwaukee N/A
	Enter the total number of appeals resolved by the plan during the reporting year that were related to outpatient mental health and/or substance use services. If the managed care plan does not cover outpatient behavioral health services, enter "N/A".	
D1IV.7d	Resolved appeals related to outpatient behavioral health services	Wraparound Milwaukee 0
	substance use services. If the managed care plan does not cover inpatient behavioral health services, enter "N/A".	

dental services

	Enter the total number of appeals resolved by the plan during the reporting year that were related to dental services. If the managed care plan does not cover dental services, enter "N/A".	0
D1IV.7i	Resolved appeals related to non-emergency medical transportation (NEMT)	Wraparound Milwaukee 0
	Enter the total number of appeals resolved by the plan during the reporting year that were related to NEMT. If the managed care plan does not cover NEMT, enter "N/A".	
D1IV.7j	Resolved appeals related to other service types	Wraparound Milwaukee 0
	Enter the total number of appeals resolved by the plan during the reporting year that were related to services that do not fit into one of the categories listed above. If the managed care plan does not cover services other than those in items D1.IV.7a-i, enter "N/A".	-

Topic IV. Appeals, State Fair Hearings & Grievances

State Fair Hearings



Number	Indicator	Response
D1IV.8a	State Fair Hearing requests Enter the total number of State Fair Hearing requests filed during the reporting year with the plan that issued an adverse benefit determination.	Wraparound Milwaukee 0
D1IV.8b	State Fair Hearings resulting in a favorable decision for the enrollee Enter the total number of State Fair Hearing decisions rendered during the reporting year that were partially or fully favorable to the enrollee.	Wraparound Milwaukee 0
D1IV.8c	State Fair Hearings resulting in an adverse decision for the enrollee Enter the total number of State Fair Hearing decisions rendered during the reporting year that were adverse for the enrollee.	Wraparound Milwaukee 0
D1IV.8d	State Fair Hearings retracted prior to reaching a decision Enter the total number of State Fair Hearing decisions retracted (by the enrollee or the representative who filed a State Fair Hearing request on behalf of the enrollee) during the reporting year prior to reaching a decision.	Wraparound Milwaukee 0
D1IV.9a	External Medical Reviews resulting in a favorable decision for the enrollee If your state does offer an external medical review process, enter the total number of external medical review decisions rendered during the reporting year that were partially or fully favorable to the enrollee. If your state does not offer an external medical review process, enter "N/A".	Wraparound Milwaukee O

External medical review is defined and described at 42 CFR §438.402(c)(i)(B).

D1IV.9b External Medical Reviews resulting in an adverse decision for the enrollee

Wraparound Milwaukee

0

If your state does offer an external medical review process, enter the total number of external medical review decisions rendered during the reporting year that were adverse to the enrollee. If your state does not offer an external medical review process, enter "N/A". External medical review is defined and described at 42

CFR §438.402(c)(i)(B).

Topic IV. Appeals, State Fair Hearings & Grievances

Grievances Overview



Number	Indicator	Response
D1IV.10	Grievances resolved Enter the total number of grievances resolved by the plan during the reporting year. A grievance is "resolved" when it has reached completion and been closed by the plan.	Wraparound Milwaukee 2
D1IV.11	Active grievances Enter the total number of grievances still pending or in process (not yet resolved) as of the end of the reporting year.	Wraparound Milwaukee 0
D1IV.12	Grievances filed on behalf of LTSS users Enter the total number of grievances filed during the reporting year by or on behalf of LTSS users. An LTSS user is an enrollee who received at least one LTSS service at any point during the reporting year (regardless of whether the enrollee was actively receiving LTSS at the time that the grievance was filed). If this does not apply, enter N/A.	Wraparound Milwaukee N/A
D1IV.13	Number of critical incidents filed during the reporting period by (or on behalf of) an LTSS user who previously filed a grievance For managed care plans that cover LTSS, enter the number of critical incidents filed within the reporting period by (or on behalf of) LTSS users who previously filed grievances in the reporting year. The grievance and critical incident	Wraparound Milwaukee N/A

do not have to have been "related" to the same issue they only need to have been filed by (or on behalf of) the same enrollee. Neither the critical incident nor the grievance need to have been filed in relation to delivery of LTSS - they may have been filed for any reason, related to any service received (or desired) by an LTSS user. If the managed care plan does not cover LTSS, the state should enter "N/A" in this field. Additionally, if the state already submitted this data for the reporting year via the CMS readiness review appeal and grievance report (because the managed care program or plan were new or serving new populations during the reporting year), and the readiness review tool was submitted for at least 6 months of the reporting year, the state can enter "N/A" in this field. To calculate this number, states or managed care plans should first identify the LTSS users for whom critical incidents were filed during the reporting year, then determine whether those enrollees had filed a grievance during the reporting year, and whether the filing of the grievance preceded the filing of the critical incident. D1IV.14 Number of grievances for Wraparound Milwaukee which timely resolution was 2 provided Enter the number of grievances for which timely resolution was provided by plan during the reporting period. See 42 CFR §438.408(b)(1) for requirements related to the timely resolution of grievances.

Topic IV. Appeals, State Fair Hearings & Grievances

Grievances by Service

Report the number of grievances resolved by plan during the reporting period by service.



Number	Indicator	Response
D1IV.15a	Resolved grievances related to general inpatient services Enter the total number of grievances resolved by the plan during the reporting year that were related to general inpatient care, including diagnostic and laboratory services. Do not include grievances related to inpatient behavioral health services — those should be included in indicator D1.IV.15c. If the managed care plan does not cover this type of service, enter "N/A".	Wraparound Milwaukee O
D1IV.15b	Resolved grievances related to general outpatient services Enter the total number of grievances resolved by the plan during the reporting year that were related to general outpatient care, including diagnostic and laboratory services. Do not include grievances related to outpatient behavioral health services — those should be included in indicator D1.IV.15d. If the managed care plan does not cover this type of service, enter "N/A".	Wraparound Milwaukee O
D1IV.15c	Resolved grievances related to inpatient behavioral health services Enter the total number of grievances resolved by the plan during the reporting year that were related to inpatient mental health and/or substance use services. If the managed care plan does not	Wraparound Milwaukee 0

cover this type of service, enter "N/A".

D1IV.15d	Resolved grievances related to outpatient behavioral health services Enter the total number of grievances resolved by the plan during the reporting year that were related to outpatient mental health and/or substance use services. If the managed care plan does not cover this type of service, enter "N/A".	Wraparound Milwaukee 2
D1IV.15e	Resolved grievances related to coverage of outpatient prescription drugs Enter the total number of grievances resolved by the plan during the reporting year that were related to outpatient prescription drugs covered by the managed care plan. If the managed care plan does not cover this type of service, enter "N/A".	Wraparound Milwaukee N/A
D1IV.15f	Resolved grievances related to skilled nursing facility (SNF) services Enter the total number of grievances resolved by the plan during the reporting year that were related to SNF services. If the managed care plan does not cover this type of service, enter "N/A".	Wraparound Milwaukee N/A
D1IV.15g	Resolved grievances related to long-term services and supports (LTSS) Enter the total number of grievances resolved by the plan during the reporting year that were related to institutional LTSS or LTSS provided through home and community-based (HCBS) services, including personal care and self-directed services. If the managed care plan does not cover this type of service, enter "N/A".	Wraparound Milwaukee N/A
D1IV.15h	Resolved grievances related to dental services Enter the total number of grievances resolved by the plan during the reporting year that were related to dental services.	Wraparound Milwaukee N/A

If the managed care plan does not cover this type of service, enter "N/A".

D1IV.15i	Resolved grievances related to non-emergency medical transportation (NEMT)	Wraparound Milwaukee 0
	Enter the total number of grievances resolved by the plan during the reporting year that were related to NEMT. If the managed care plan does not cover this type of service, enter "N/A".	
D1IV.15j	Developed and some second stand	
D 11 V. 15j	Resolved grievances related to other service types	Wraparound Milwaukee

Topic IV. Appeals, State Fair Hearings & Grievances

Grievances by Reason

Report the number of grievances resolved by plan during the reporting period by reason.



Number	Indicator	Response
D1IV.16a	Resolved grievances related to plan or provider customer service	Wraparound Milwaukee 0
	Enter the total number of grievances resolved by the plan during the reporting year that were related to plan or provider customer service. Customer service grievances include complaints about interactions with the plan's Member Services department, provider offices or facilities, plan marketing agents, or any other plan or provider representatives.	
D1IV.16b	Resolved grievances related to plan or provider care management/case management	Wraparound Milwaukee 2
	Enter the total number of grievances resolved by the plan during the reporting year that were related to plan or provider care management/case management. Care management/case management grievances include complaints about the timeliness of an assessment or complaints about the plan or provider care or case management process.	
D1IV.16c	Resolved grievances related to access to care/services from plan or provider	Wraparound Milwaukee 0

	Enter the total number of grievances resolved by the plan during the reporting year that were related to access to care. Access to care grievances include complaints about difficulties finding qualified in- network providers, excessive travel or wait times, or other access issues.	
D1IV.16d	Resolved grievances related to quality of care Enter the total number of grievances resolved by the plan during the reporting year that were related to quality of care. Quality of care grievances include complaints about the effectiveness, efficiency, equity, patient-centeredness, safety, and/or acceptability of care provided by a provider or the plan.	Wraparound Milwaukee O
D1IV.16e	Resolved grievances related to plan communications Enter the total number of grievances resolved by the plan during the reporting year that were related to plan communications. Plan communication grievances include grievances related to the clarity or accuracy of enrollee materials or other plan communications or to an enrollee's access to or the accessibility of enrollee materials or plan communications.	Wraparound Milwaukee O
D1IV.16f	Resolved grievances related to payment or billing issues Enter the total number of grievances resolved by the plan during the reporting year that were filed for a reason related to payment or billing issues.	Wraparound Milwaukee 0
D1IV.16g	Resolved grievances related to suspected fraud Enter the total number of grievances resolved by the plan during the reporting year that	Wraparound Milwaukee 0

	were related to suspected fraud. Suspected fraud grievances include suspected cases of financial/payment fraud perpetuated by a provider, payer, or other entity. Note: grievances reported in this row should only include grievances submitted to the managed care plan, not grievances submitted to another entity, such as a state Ombudsman or Office of the Inspector General.	
D1IV.16h	Resolved grievances related to abuse, neglect or exploitation	Wraparound Milwaukee 0
	Enter the total number of grievances resolved by the plan during the reporting year that were related to abuse, neglect or exploitation. Abuse/neglect/exploitation grievances include cases involving potential or actual patient harm.	
D1IV.16i	Resolved grievances related to lack of timely plan response to a service authorization or appeal (including requests to expedite or extend appeals)	Wraparound Milwaukee 0
	Enter the total number of grievances resolved by the plan during the reporting year that	
	were filed due to a lack of timely plan response to a service authorization or appeal request (including requests to expedite or extend appeals).	
D1IV.16j	were filed due to a lack of timely plan response to a service authorization or appeal request (including requests to	Wraparound Milwaukee 0

longer than 72 hours after the MCO, PIHP or PAHP receives the appeal. If a plan denies a request for an expedited appeal, the enrollee or their representative have the right to file a grievance.

D1IV.16k Resolved grievances filed for other reasons 0 Enter the total number of grievances resolved by the plan during the reporting year that were filed for a reason other than the reasons listed above.

Topic VII: Quality & Performance Measures


Report on individual measures in each of the following eight domains: (1) Primary care access and preventive care, (2) Maternal and perinatal health, (3) Care of acute and chronic conditions, (4) Behavioral health care, (5) Dental and oral health services, (6) Health plan enrollee experience of care, (7) Long-term services and supports, and (8) Other. For composite measures, be sure to include each individual sub-measure component.



Find in the Excel Workbook **D2_Plan_Measures**

Quality & performance measure total count: 2

D2.VII.2 Measure Domain Behavioral health care D2.VII.3 National Quality Forum (NQF) number N/A D2.VII.6 Measure Set State-specific D2.VII.8 Measure Description A checklist completed to detect emotional and behavioral problems in children and adolescents. Measure results Wraparound Milwaukee N/A	mplete	D2.VII.1 Measure Name	: Child Behavior Checklist	
D2.VII.3 National Quality Forum (NQF) number D2.VII.4 Measure Reporting and D2.VII.5 Programs N/A Program-specific rate D2.VII.6 Measure Set D2.VII.7a Reporting Period and D2.VII.7b Reporting period: Date range State-specific Yes D2.VII.8 Measure Description A checklist completed to detect emotional and behavioral problems in children and adolescents. Measure results Wraparound Milwaukee		D2.VII.2 Measure Domain		
Forum (NQF) number Program-specific rate N/A D2.VII.6 Measure Set D2.VII.7a Reporting Period and D2.VII.7b Reporting period: Date range State-specific Yes D2.VII.8 Measure Description A checklist completed to detect emotional and behavioral problems in children and adolescents. Measure results Measure results		Behavioral health care		
N/A D2.VII.6 Measure Set State-specific D2.VII.7a Reporting Period and D2.VII.7b Reporting period: Date range Yes D2.VII.8 Measure Description A checklist completed to detect emotional and behavioral problems in children and adolescents. Measure results		· ·	D2.VII.4 Measure Reporting and D2.VII.5 Programs	
State-specific period: Date range Yes D2.VII.8 Measure Description A checklist completed to detect emotional and behavioral problems in children and adolescents. Measure results Wraparound Milwaukee			Program-specific rate	
State-specific F Yes D2.VII.8 Measure Description A checklist completed to detect emotional and behavioral problems in children and adolescents. Measure results Wraparound Milwaukee		D2.VII.6 Measure Set		
D2.VII.8 Measure Description A checklist completed to detect emotional and behavioral problems in children and adolescents. Measure results Wraparound Milwaukee		State-specific		
children and adolescents. Measure results Wraparound Milwaukee		D2.VII.8 Measure Descriptio	n	
Measure results Wraparound Milwaukee				
Wraparound Milwaukee		children and adolescents.		
-		Measure results		
N/A		Wraparound Milwauke	e	
		N/A		



State-specific	Yes		
D2.VII.8 Measure Descri	iption		
Program-lead membe	er survey		
Measure results			
	_		
Wraparound Milwa	ukee		
N/A			

Topic VIII. Sanctions

Describe sanctions that the state has issued for each plan. Report all known actions across the following domains: sanctions, administrative penalties, corrective action plans, other. Include any pending or unresolved actions.

42 CFR 438.66(e)(2)(viii) specifies that the MCPAR include the results of any sanctions or corrective action plans imposed by the State or other formal or informal intervention with a contracted MCO, PIHP, PAHP, or PCCM entity to improve performance.



Find in the Excel Workbook **D3_Plan_Sanctions**

Sanction total count:

0 - No sanctions entered

Topic X. Program Integrity



Number	Indicator	Response
D1X.1	Dedicated program integrity staff Report or enter the number of dedicated program integrity staff for routine internal monitoring and compliance risks. Refer to 42 CFR 438.608(a)(1)(vii).	Wraparound Milwaukee 5
D1X.2	Count of opened program integrity investigations How many program integrity investigations were opened by the plan during the reporting year?	Wraparound Milwaukee 9
D1X.3	Ratio of opened program integrity investigations to enrollees What is the ratio of program integrity investigations opened by the plan in the past year per 1,000 beneficiaries enrolled in the plan on the first day of the last month of the reporting year?	Wraparound Milwaukee 12.6:1,000
D1X.4	Count of resolved program integrity investigations How many program integrity investigations were resolved by the plan during the reporting year?	Wraparound Milwaukee 7
D1X.5	Ratio of resolved program integrity investigations to enrollees What is the ratio of program integrity investigations resolved by the plan in the past year per 1,000 beneficiaries enrolled in the plan at the beginning of the reporting year?	Wraparound Milwaukee 9.8:1,000
D1X.6	Referral path for program integrity referrals to the state What is the referral path that the plan uses to make program	Wraparound Milwaukee Makes some referrals to the SMA and others directly to the MFCU

integrity referrals to the state? Select one.

	Select one.	
D1X.7	Count of program integrity referrals to the state	Wraparound Milwaukee 1
	Enter the total number of program integrity referrals made during the reporting year.	
D1X.8	Ratio of program integrity referral to the state	Wraparound Milwaukee
	What is the ratio of program integrity referral listed in the previous indicator made to the state in the past year per 1,000 beneficiaries, using the plan's total enrollment as of the first day of the last month of the reporting year (reported in indicator D1.I.1) as the denominator.	
D1X.9	Plan overpayment reporting	Wraparound Milwaukee
	 to the state Describe the plan's latest annual overpayment recovery report submitted to the state as required under 42 CFR 438.608(d)(3). Include, at minimum, the following information: The date of the report (rating period or calendar year). The dollar amount of overpayments recovered. The ratio of the dollar amount of overpayments recovered as a percent of premium revenue as defined in MLR reporting under 42 CFR 438.8(f)(2). 	The plan submits overpayment information to the state quarterly. The final report is cumulative of all overpayments for the calendar year and due to OIG by January 31. The plan submitted their annual overpayment information to OIG on 01/26/2023 for calendar year 2022. On 07/27/2023, the plan submitted cumulative overpayment information to OIG for 1/01/2023-06/30/2023. The plan recovered \$6,349.02 from 07/01/2022-06/30/2023. The total revenue for the plan for this period was \$15,653,295.80. As of 11/16/2023, the ratio of the dollar amount of overpayments recovered as a percent of premium revenue is 0.04%.
D1X.10	Changes in beneficiary circumstances	Wraparound Milwaukee
		Quarterly

Select the frequency the plan reports changes in beneficiary circumstances to the state. Quarterly

Topic IX. Beneficiary Support System (BSS) Entities

Per 42 CFR 438.66(e)(2)(ix), the Managed Care Program Annual Report must provide information on and an assessment of the operation of the managed care program including activities and performance of the beneficiary support system. Information on how BSS entities support program-level functions is on the Program-Level BSS page.



Find in the Excel Workbook **E_BSS_Entities**

Number	Indicator	Response
EIX.1	BSS entity type	Milwaukee County Resource and Referral
	What type of entity was contracted to perform each BSS activity? Check all that apply. Refer to 42 CFR 438.71(b).	line 414-257-7607
		Local Government Entity
EIX.2	BSS entity role	Milwaukee County Resource and Referral
	What are the roles performed	line 414-257-7607
	by the BSS entity? Check all that apply. Refer to 42 CFR 438.71(b).	Enrollment Broker/Choice Counseling