ACTIVITY FOR SETTING PRIORITIES USING CRITERIA Draft March 28, 2011

The attached **Criteria for Prioritizing Extension Team Projects, Programs and Initiatives** can be used to generate discussion and prioritize particular programs or initiatives. Below is a suggested process for how these criteria can be used by groups to help them prioritize their work. This process can be used by work teams at any level: administrative groups, state planning teams, state workteams, county offices as well as with organizations outside of Extension interested in setting priorities for their work.

- Review the six criteria presented in the handout. Make sure everyone has a common understanding of what they represent. Discuss whether there are additional criteria that are not included but might be important.
- 2) Assign weights to the criteria: Start with 100 points. Based on how important people feel particular criteria are to their work, assign a relative weight to each criterion by having the group distribute 100 points across the 6 criteria. For example, 40 points could be allocated to criterion 1 (Significant public value), 20 points to criterion 3 (Organizational fit) and 10 points to each of the other 4 criteria (for a total of 100 points). The assignment can be done by discussion and group consensus or each group member can assign their own weights and individual weights can be averaged together for an overall group weighting. A discussion of the relative weightings of each criterion can help group members better understand the values and priorities that individuals bring to their work and help members explore the values that the organization or work group might use to guide its work.
- 3) Have the group rate a particular program or initiative based on how well it meets each of the 6 criteria. Using a scale of 6=Highly Consistent with the criterion to 1=Not at all Consistent with the criterion, rate the program or initiative on each of the 6 criteria. Again, this can be done as a group through discussion and consensus; or individual members can make their own ratings which are then averaged together for an overall rating. Individual ratings can be entered into the attached matrix for comparison and summarizing. Individual differences in how the program was rated on each of the criteria can generate meaningful discussion and lead the team toward consensus.
- If there is more than one project or initiative to prioritize, this process can be applied to each project and then the final scores compared. When comparing several projects or initiatives with each other, it can be helpful to calculate an overall score for each project. This is done by multiplying how well the program met that criteria (ie. 1 to 6) by the weights assigned to each criteria. For example:

	Rating of how well				
Criteria	Assigned Weights	Program Meets criteria		SCORE	
(4) Circuificant Dublic Value	10 mts	-		200	
Significant Public Value	40 pts.	5	(40 X 5) =	200	
(2) Adequate Resources	10 pts.	5	(10 X 5)=	50	
(3) Good Organizational Fit	20 pts.	5	(20 X 5)=	100	
(4) Innovative-Anticipates Fu	iture 10 pts.	3	(10 X 3)=	30	
(5) External Opportunities Ex	kist 10 pts.	1	(10 X 1)=	10	
(6) Programs will have Impac	ct 10 pts.	4	(10 X 4)=	40	

Program A

TOTAL SCORE = 430

<u>Program B</u>

	Rating of how well				
Criteria	Assigned Weights	Program Meets criteria		SCORE	
1) Significant Public Value	40 pts.	2	(40 X 2) =	80	
2) Adequate Resources	10 pts.	4	(10 X 4)=	40	
3) Good Organizational Fit	20 pts.	3	(20 X 3)=	60	
4) Innovative-Anticipates F	uture 10 pts.	5	(10 X 5)=	50	
5) External Opportunities E	xist 10 pts.	3	(10 X 3)=	30	
6) Programs will have Impa	ct 10 pts.	2	(10 X 2)=	20	

TOTAL SCORE = 280