

## 2006 COMMUNITY SUPPORT PROGRAM (CSP) FINAL REPORT

Once again this year DMHSAS used an electronic survey mechanism to collect the CSP data we need for federal reporting.

The data entered by all 80 certified Community Support Programs (CSP) show that as of the end of 2006 there were 5,386 clients enrolled. This was an overall decrease of 237 clients from the beginning of the year, with activity consisting of 570 enrollments and 802 discharges (there are a few very minor unresolved discrepancies in some programs' numbers—see Appendix 1).

Although this appears to be quite a drop in enrollment (almost 4.3%), if the decrease in LaCrosse County is factored out, there was a net loss of ten. The two CSP programs in LaCrosse were closed in 2006 and replaced by a single new program, with a new subcontract vendor, whose enrollment on 12/31/2006 was 0. The county contracted with this new entity, which enrolled some, but not all, of the former CSP clients early in 2007. However, those enrollees are not part of this report.

**Enrollment Figures.** The county with the highest number of CSP clients is, not surprisingly, Milwaukee, with eleven CSPs reporting a total of 1,329 clients. Iron County had the fewest clients, at two. Of the programs that existed in 2005, Oconto had the fewest clients, with six. Two counties, Iron and Walworth, added a certified program in 2006. I also looked at which programs had the largest number and percentage of discharges. LaCrosse, of course, had both the largest number and percentage of discharges, following the temporary closing of its two CSP programs. The next largest number of discharges was in St. Croix County, with 24. About half of those were youth discharged from CSP and enrolled into the county's Coordinated Services Team (CST) program. Next highest was Eau Claire County, with 19 net discharges (26 overall). Of those 26, three enrolled in the county's Community Health Partnership (CHP) program, four went to a newly created CST, four died of natural causes, four moved out of the area, and eleven were transferred to the county's targeted case management program, needing less intensive treatment. Outagamie County had a net discharge of 15 clients, but twelve of them were subsequently enrolled into the county's Comprehensive Community Services (CCS) program. No other programs had a net enrollment loss greater than seven. Oconto County had the largest percentage drop of any county, but county staff consider this drop more of a one-time anomaly than a trend.

**From CSP to CCS and FamilyCare.** One of the purposes for establishing the Comprehensive Community Services (CCS) program was to create a continuum of care for consumers experiencing recovery who no longer needed the intensity and oversight provided by a CSP. In 2006, 180 such clients were transferred from CSP to CCS in ten different certified CSP programs: Brown, Jefferson, Kenosha, LaCrosse, Langlade/Lincoln/Marathon, Outagamie, Richland, Washington, Waukesha, and Waushara, with more than half of those transfers occurring in LaCrosse County. We also looked at individuals who were discharged from a CSP program in 2006 and subsequently enrolled in FamilyCare. There were 74 clients who were discharged from CSPs in eight different counties during 2006 who were subsequently enrolled in FamilyCare the same year, with roughly 80% of them occurring in two counties—LaCrosse and Milwaukee. Three adjacent counties in the western part of the state (Chippewa, Dunn, and Eau

<b>Transfers to CCS</b>		<b>Transfers to Family Care</b>	
<b>County</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>County</b>	<b>Number</b>
Brown	2	LaCrosse	28
Jefferson	5	Lan/Lin/Mar	2
Kenosha	13	Milwaukee	32
LaCrosse	101	Monroe	1
Lan/Lin/Mar	32	Racine	7
Outagamie	12	Richland	2
Richland	4	Sheboygan	1
Washington	3	Waushara	1
Waukesha	3	<b>Total</b>	<b>74</b>
Waushara	7		
<b>Total</b>	<b>180</b>		

Claire) have the Community Health Partnership (or CHP, which is a fully integrated managed long term care program) and five clients transferred into that program (see Appendix 2).

**Self-Reported Numbers.** The attached spreadsheet (Appendix 1) shows the numbers of clients reported by the agencies themselves for the years 2002 through 2006. The 2002 information includes only the year-end census for that year. The other four years include information also requested in the CSP monitoring reports—the beginning census, the number of enrollments and discharges, and the year-end census.

**Waiting Lists for Services.** There are 23 CSP programs that report having a waiting list for services. Of those 23 programs that reported having a waiting list, four of them stated they had no one currently on the waiting list or didn't completely answer the question. Of the 19 programs that had at least one person on the waiting list, the number ranged from five or less in five counties to 49 in Dane County, and a statewide waiting list total of 230 people. Seven counties had ten or more people on the waiting list as of the end of 2006. In addition to Dane, those counties with 19 or more people on the waiting list are St. Croix, Ashland, Columbia, Sheboygan, and Waukesha. Milwaukee County reports having no waiting list for CSP services. However, because use of the functional screen to determine functional need is not yet used statewide for access to CSP services, consistency in determining need for CSP services is still an issue. Therefore, it should not be assumed that not having a formal waiting list means there are no people in those counties who are in need of CSP services.

The state of Wisconsin allocates \$1,000,000 annually in general purpose revenues to 21 counties throughout the state to supplement their CSP budgets. The amount given does not change year to year, and there is no direct correlation between the size of a county's current waiting list and the amount allocated. This money is used to serve current clients ongoing, in those counties where a large wait list existed at the onset of the initial distribution of funding (see Appendix 3).

--Prepared by Tim Wong, DMHSAS

February 22, 2008 (CSP 2006 Final Report)